



**REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO** 

# RURAL DIRECTORS COMMITTEE AGENDA Thursday, October 10, 2019

<u>PAGE NO.</u>		ACTION
	AGENDA- October 10, 2019	Approve
	Supplementary Agenda	Receive
	MINUTES	
3-4	Rural Directors Committee Meeting Minutes - September 5, 2019	Adopt
	<u>REPORTS</u>	
5-8	Cheryl Anderson, Manager of Administrative Services – Nechako Watershed Roundtable - Request for Grant in Aid – Electoral Areas "B", "C", "D", "E", and "F"	Discussion/ Direction
9-14	Cheryl Anderson, Manager of Administrative Services – Fort St. James Breakfast Program - Request for Grant in Aid – Electoral Area "C"	Recommendation (Page 9)
15	Cheryl Anderson, Manager of Administrative Services – Bulkley-Nechako Regional Transit Service – Grant in Aid	Recommendation (Page 15)
16-17	John Illes, Chief Financial Officer – Admin Recovery for Local Service Budgets	Recommendation (Page 17)
18-58	John Illes, Chief Financial Officer – Draft Local Service Budgets	Recommendation (Page 22-24)
59-66	John Illes, Chief Financial Officer – Distribution of Northern Capital and Planning Grant	Recommendation (Page 64)
67-69	Deborah Jones-Middleton, Director of Protective Services – Northern Capital and Planning Grant -Hazard, Risk and Vulnerability Assessment Program	Recommendation (Page 67) m
	DEVELOPMENT SERVICES	
	<u>Memo</u>	
70-109	Maria Sandberg, Planner – Land Referral File No.	Recommendation

(Page 70)

6409156 BC Hydro

Rural Directors Committee Agenda October 10, 2019 Page 2

# SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

# **NEW BUSINESS**

**ADJOURNMENT** 

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO

### RURAL DIRECTORS COMMITTEE MEETING

# Thursday, September 5, 2019

PRESENT:	Acting Chair	Mark Parker				
	Directors	Mark Fisher Tom Greenaway Clint Lambert Jerry Petersen Michael Riis-Christianson Gerry Thiessen				
	Director Absent	Rob Newell, Electoral Area "G" (Houston Rural)				
	Staff	Curtis Helgesen, Chief Administrative Officer Cheryl Anderson, Manager of Administrative Services Janette Derksen, Deputy Director of Environmental Services John Illes, Chief Financial Officer Deborah Jones-Middleton, Director of Protective Services – left at 3:03 p.m. Jason Llewellyn, Director of Planning Deneve Vanderwolf, Planning Technician/Regional Transit Coordinator – left at 2:53 p.m. Wendy Wainwright, Executive Assistant				
CALL TO ORDER		Acting Chair Parker called the meeting to order at 2:48 p.m.				
AGENDA		Moved by Director Petersen Seconded by Director Lambert				
<u>RDC.2019-7-1</u>		"That the Rural Directors Committee Agenda for September 5, 2019 be approved."				
		(All/Directors/Majority)	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY			
MINUTES						
Rural Directors Committee Meeting Minutes -June 20, 2019		Moved by Director Lambert Seconded by Director Riis-Christianson				
RDC.2019-7-2		"That the minutes of the Rural Directors Committee meeting of June 20, 2019 be received."				
		(All/Directors/Majority)	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY			

# **REPORTS**

Bulkley Nechako Regional Transit–Grant in Aid	Regional Transit Service will end Directors interested in committin	Province for the Bulkley Nechako d March 31, 2022. Electoral Area og Grant in Aid funds to the Bulkley ce can provide the information to staff to Board Meeting.
	Discussion took place in regard Funds for the capital acquisition	to utilizing Community Works (Gas Tax) of buses.
Distribution of Northern Capital And Planning Grant	Moved by Director Riis-Christian Seconded by Director Fisher	nson
<u>RDC.2019-7-3</u>		ittee receive the Chief Financial Officer's istribution of Northern Capital and
	of Bulkley-Nechako Board of Dir	ttee recommend to the Regional District rectors that the "Rural" portion of the Grant be allocated as per Option 2 (½ ne August 19, 2019 staff report."
	(All/Directors/Majority)	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
Community Works Fund (Gas Tax) Allocation Methods	Moved by Director Fisher Seconded by Director Riis-Chris	stianson
<u>RDC.2019-7-4</u>	"That the Rural Directors Comm August 19, 2019 memo titled "C Allocation Methods."	ittee receive the Chief Financial Officer's ommunity Works Fund (Gas Tax)
	(All/Directors/Majority)	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
DEVELOPMENT SERVICES		
Memo		
Land Referral File No. 0282536 MOTI – Electoral Area "F"	Moved by Director Petersen Seconded by Director Greenawa	ау
<u>RDC.2019-7-5</u>	Crown Land Referral 0282536"	ulkley-Nechako Comment Sheet on be provided to the Province as the n Crown Land Referral 0282536."
	(All/Directors/Majority)	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
ADJOURNMENT	Moved by Director Lambert Seconded by Director Greenaw	ау
RDC.2019-7-6	"That the meeting be adjourned	3:04 p.m."
	(All/Directors/Majority)	CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



# Regional District of Bulkley-Nechako Memo

то:	Rural Directors Committee
FROM:	Cheryl Anderson, Manager of Administrative Services
DATE:	October 10, 2019
SUBJECT:	Nechako Watershed Roundtable – Request for Grant in Aid – Electoral Areas "B", "C", "D", "E", and "F"

### RECOMMENDATION

Discussion/Direction.

#### BACKGROUND

Last year, the Nechako Watershed Roundtable requested \$8,500 grant in aid from the Regional District for the implementation of the Nechako Watershed Strategy. The Regional District approved \$7,000.

Attached is a request for \$8,500 for operational funding for 2019. Kim Menounos, Manager, Northern and Interior Region, Fraser Basin Council has offered to appear as a delegation before the Regional District Board to provide an update and answer any questions the Board may have if the Board wishes.

Written by,

Indern

Cheryl Anderson, Manager of Administrative Services

# **Cheryl Anderson**

From:website@rdbn.bc.caSent:September 25, 2019 1:41 PMTo:Cheryl AndersonSubject:Website Form Submission – ONLINE GRANT-IN-AID APPLICATION FORM

There has been a submission of the form ONLINE GRANT-IN-AID APPLICATION FORM through your concrete5 website.

6

#### ORGANIZATION: Nechako Watershed Roundtable

EMAIL: kmenounos@fraserbasin.bc.ca

PHONE: 2506120282

MAILING ADDRESS (Please include PO Box/Civic Address, Town and Postal Code): 207-155 George St. Prince George, V2L 1P8

PROJECT OR PURPOSE FOR WHICH YOU REQUIRE ASSISTANCE:

#### What is the Nechako Watershed Roundtable (NWR)?

The Nechako Watershed Roundtable (NWR) is a collaborative initiative launched in October 2015 to help protect and improve the health of the Nechako Watershed for future generations. The Roundtable brings together public sector, private sector, and community organizations having responsibilities and interests in this watershed.

Who is involved in the NWR?

The NWR includes representation from BC First Nations, the Province of BC, local governments, and other agencies and organizations having responsibilities and interests in the Nechako watershed.

#### What will the financial assistance cover?

The NWR requests financial assistance to support our work. The secretariat provides day-to-day operations to support work going on in the watershed by a number of groups, all working together toward the implementation of the Nechako Watershed Strategy, the ultimate goal of which is a healthy watershed. The secretariat requires operational funding to carry on this collaborative and engaging work.

TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, ALL OF THE INFORMATION THAT IS PROVIDED IN THIS APPLICATION IS TRUE AND CORRECT. FURTHERMORE, I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS APPLICATION FOR ASSISTANCE IS NOT BEING MADE ON BEHALF OF AN INDIVIDUAL, INDUSTRY, COMMERCIAL OR BUSINESS: Yes

PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SERVICES/BENEFITS THAT YOUR ORGANIZATION PROVIDES TO THE COMMUNITY. ARE THESE SERVICES/BENEFITS AVAILABLE TO THE COMMUNITY FROM ANOTHER ORGANIZATION OR AGENCY:

#### What does the NWR do and why is this important?

The NWR convenes conversations and facilitates collaborative action to help ensure the long-term environmental health and wealth of the Nechako Watershed. The NWR is a collective voice across the region working to strengthen

community and ecosystem resilience, and to understand the impacts of climate change, extreme events, resource development, and other pressures on the watershed.

DESCRIBE THE GEOGRAPHIC AREA THAT RECEIVES SERVICES OR BENEFITS FROM YOUR ORGANIZATION.: The entire Nechako watershed (52,000 km2) receives the direct benefit of our work, but all of British Columbia will benefit in the long term from work to ensure the Nechako watershed is healthy and sustains healthy communities and populations of important species and ecosystems.

IS YOUR ORGANIZATION VOLUNTARY AND NON-PROFIT?: Yes

PLEASE DETAIL ANY REMUNERATION PAID, OR FUNDS OTHERWISE MADE AVAILABLE TO MEMBERS, OFFICERS, ETC. OF YOUR ORGANIZATION:

Staff of the secretariat are paid, otherwise all participants are voluntary or supported by outside organizations. Funds may be used to leverage grants or funding opportunities for project-based work in the Nechako consistent with the goals of implementing the Nechako Watershed Health Strategy.

PLEASE COMMENT ON THE NUMBER OF MEMBERS/VOLUNTEERS IN YOUR ORGANIZATION AND HOW LONG YOUR ORGANIZATION HAS BEEN IN OPERATION:

The NWR has been in operation for about 3 years, only two of which had paid secretariat staff. There are 12 members of the Core Committee whose time and effort is provided through in-kind support. Any member of the communities in the watershed, including the 5 municipalities and 14 First Nations, may be considered a member. Membership attendance at the annual meeting has grown since the official launch in 2016 to the latest Technical Working Group.

ASSISTANCE IS BEING REQUESTED FOR: another purpose

OTHER PURPOSE IF ANY: Support of the secretariat function

PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROPOSAL FOR WHICH YOU ARE REQUESTING ASSISTANCE. IF YOU ARE APPLYING FOR AN EXEMPTION FROM FEES AND/OR CHARGES OR OTHER CONSIDERATION, PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OR YOUR REQUEST HERE. ATTACH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IF REQUIRED.:

Work in year 2 (REFBC funding for 3 years) has focused on the continued building of relationships, development of communications materials, and the establishment of two working groups (the Lakes Monitoring Working Group, and the Education and Youth Engagement Working Group). The Education and Youth Engagement Working Group has had substantial success working with SD91 providing experiential learning in Vanderhoof area streams in the Nechako watershed, in partnership with Steamkeepers and the University of Northern British Columbia. The Lakes Monitoring Working Group is working to establish specific monitoring protocols to establish baseline data on nine or more lakes in the watershed and to provide data to researchers.

DESCRIBE HOW THIS PROPOSAL WILL BENEFIT THE COMMUNITY:

The community will benefit in the short term from improved relationships and unified goals toward the health of the watershed, and in the long term from the implementation of the Nechako Watershed Health Strategy. A healthy community is a prosperous one.

HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR A GRANT/FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES?: Yes

NAME OF 1ST GRANT OR FUNDING AGENCY: Real Estate Foundation of BC AMOUNT APPLIED FOR FROM 1ST AGENCY: 35000

STATUS OF 1ST GRANT APPLICATION: Approved

NAME OF 2ND GRANT OR FUNDING AGENCY: Centerra Gold Community Fund

AMOUNT APPLIED FOR FROM 2ND AGENCY: 10000

STATUS OF 2ND GRANT APPLICATION: Pending

NAME OF 3RD GRANT OR FUNDING AGENCY: Regional District of Fraser-Fort George Areas A and C

AMOUNT APPLIED FOR FROM 3RD AGENCY: 3400

STATUS OF 3RD GRANT APPLICATION: Approved

NAME OF 4TH GRANT FUNDING AGENCY:

AMOUNT APPLIED FOR FROM 4TH AGENCY: 0

HAVE YOU RECEIVED ASSISTANCE (GRANT IN AID/WAIVING OF FEES , ETC.), FROM THE REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO IN PREVIOUS YEARS?: Yes

IF YES, YEARS, AMOUNTS AND PURPOSES FOR WHICH ASSISTANCE WAS USED: Assistance was granted from Areas B, C, D, E, F (\$1700 each, total of \$8500) in 2018 for the same purpose as this request.

DOES YOUR ORGANIZATION:

CONTACT NAME: Kim Menounos

PLEASE PROVIDE THE DATE, TIME AND LOCATION OF YOUR ORGANIZATIONS ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING (AGM). IF UNKNOWN PLEASE CONTACT THE ELECTORAL AREA DIRECTOR WITH THE INFORMATION ONCE DATE AND TIME ARE CONFIRMED.: October 30, 2019 10am to 4pm, Fort St. James (Nakazdli Whut'en)

AMOUNT BEING REQUESTED: \$1700 from Areas B, C, D, E, F 8



# Regional District of Bulkley-Nechako Memo

то:	Rural Directors Committee
FROM:	Cheryl Anderson, Manager of Administrative Services
DATE:	October 10, 2019
SUBJECT:	Fort St. James Breakfast Program – Request for Grant in Aid – Electoral Area "C"

### RECOMMENDATION

"That the Fort St. James Breakfast Program be given \$2,000 grant in aid monies from Electoral Area "C" (Fort St. James Rural) for costs associated with the Breakfast Program."

# BACKGROUND

School District 91 has requested \$3,000 grant in aid monies from Electoral Area "C" for costs associated with the Fort St. James Breakfast Program. Director Greenaway has indicated that he is supportive of providing \$2,000 toward the application. The Grant in Aid Application is attached.

Written by,

nderm

Cheryl Anderson, Manager of Administrative Services

A and have been

#### SEP 1 1 2019 **REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO** ELECTORAL AREA REQUEST FOR GRANT IN AIBEGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO APPLICATION

APPLICATION SUBMIT	TED BY: (Jhen Brogeman) Fort St James Braicfast Program
Applicant Name:	Fort St James Braicfast Program
Mailing Address:	Box 200
	FCA St James, BC
E-mail Address:	Jondopman OSd91. bc. ca
Contact(s):	<u>Then Bhaanan</u> Name, Telephone/Fax@umber
	<u>Name, Telephone/Fax Number</u>
	APPLICATION SUMMARY

Project or purpose for which you require assistance: School district-91 Break Program Amount of Grant Requested \$\_\_\_\_\_ 000

To the best of my knowledge, all of the information that is provided in this application is true and correct. Furthermore, I hereby certify that this application for assistance is NOT being made on behalf of an individual, industry, commerciaLor-business undertaking.

(signature of authorized signatory)

(1110) At REKYath Worker

Amount Approved: Date:

Signature of Electoral Area Director

RDBN Application for Grant in Aid Assistance Page 2 of 5

## **APPLICANT PROFILE**

1. Please describe the services/benefits that your organization provides to the community. Are these services/benefits available to the community from another organization or agency?

2. Describe the geographic area that receives services or benefits from your organization.

lames pe

3. Is your organization voluntary and non-profit?

Please detail any remuneration paid, or funds otherwise made available to members, officers, etc. of your organization.

artast is volunteer

YES

NO

Please comment on the number of members/volunteers in your organization and how long your organization has been in operation.

sometimes voluntee

11

RDBN Application for Grant in Aid Assistance Page 3 of 5

)

## **PROJECT/PROPOSAL PROFILE**

1. Assistance is being requested for:

2. Please describe the proposal for which you are requesting assistance. If you are applying for an exemption from fees and/or charges or other consideration, please provide details or your request here. Attach additional information if required.

have attached etter de 1 0

3. Describe how this proposal will benefit the community.



RDBN Application for Grant in Aid Assistance Page 4 of 5

# **Funding and Financial Information**

- 1. Attach supporting financial information, ie., budget/financial report. Ensure the following information is clearly itemized:
- Total cost of project/proposal;
- Grants/funding from other sources;
- Funding contributed by applicant through funding raising activities or other sources of revenue;
- Total expenses for the fiscal year, including any monies and/or benefits paid to members or officers.
- 2. Have you applied for a grant/funding from other source(s)?

If yes, complete the following chart. If not, please comment.

Name of Grant or Funding	\$ Amount	Status of	Grant Appl	ication
Agency	Applied for	Approved (Y)	Denied (Y)	Pending (Y)
Breakfast Club	7.000			V
Kiotinta	3000			V
Mt.Milligan	3000			VI
Enteans U	1,800			

RDBN Application for Grant in Aid Assistance Page 5 of 5

YES

3. Have you received assistance (grant in aid/waiving of fees, etc.), from the Regional District of Bulkley-Nechako in previous years?

YES \_\_\_\_\_NO If yes, complete the following chart.

2 1000 Rutchase Hood & ante

4. Does your organization:

a)	Offer direct financial assistance to individuals or families?	YES	L	1

- b) Duplicate services that fall within the mandate of either a senior government or a local service agency?
- c) Provide an opportunity for individuals to make direct \_\_\_\_YES \_\_\_\_NO contributions?

OR, is your organization:

d) Part of a provincial or national fund raising campaign? YES //NO

Don't forget to attach the required financial report.



# Regional District of Bulkley-Nechako Memo

TO: Rural Directors Committee
FROM: Cheryl Anderson, Manager of Administrative Services
DATE: October 10, 2019
SUBJECT: Bulkley-Nechako Regional Transit Service – Grant in Aid

# RECOMMENDATION

That the following grant in aid contributions be provided towards operating costs for the Bulkley-Nechako Regional Transit Service for 2019:

Electoral Area "B" (Burns Lake Rural) - \$1,000 Electoral Area "C" (Fort St. James Rural) - \$2,000 Electoral Area "D" (Fraser Lake Rural) - \$1,000 Electoral Area "G" (Houston Rural) - \$1,000 Electoral Area "F" (Vanderhoof Rural) - \$1,000

# BACKGROUND

At the September 5, 2019 Rural Directors Committee meeting, staff sought direction on whether Rural Directors wished to contribute Grant in Aid monies towards operating costs for the Bulkley-Nechako Regional Transit Service for 2019. The wishes of the Electoral Area Directors are captured within the recommendation.

Written by,

Cheryl Anderson, Manager of Administrative Services 16



# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO STAFF REPORT

To:Rural Directors' CommitteeFrom:John Illes, Chief Financial OfficerDate:October 10, 2019Subject:Admin Recovery for Local Service Budgets

# **Overview:**

The Regional District currently does not recover any administrative costs for local service budgets. Best practices and the expectation of the Province is that appropriate administrative expenses are recovered from all established services.

### **Discussion:**

This memo discusses the administration recovery for all local services that are not allocated employee staff time. All those services highlighted in this meeting's budget discussion fall into this category.

The principals utilized to develop these costs are that they will reflect as much as possible the actual costs to administer the service in the terms of staff members or elected officials time.

Generally, administration costs consist of a small amount of Regional District staff time but also should include part of the cost of an Electoral Area Director or Directors time as well.

Yearly administration costs for local services that provide a monthly or annual grant to one organization are estimated to cost \$250 based on staff time and an additional \$50 for general regional district fixed costs (such as the Regional District Office) for a total of \$300.

Yearly administration costs for street lighting services are estimated to be \$400 for staff time and an additional \$50 for fixed costs for a total of \$450. Additional staff time is required to request bulb replacement and to verify and pay monthly hydro bills.

Yearly administration costs for local services that provide a grant to more than one organization is estimated to be \$500 for staff and director time and an additional \$50 for fixed costs for a total of \$550. The extra cost is intended to cover the additional time both staff and the directors take to review applications for funding requests. These services include the Economic Development Services, the Lakes District Arts and Culture Service, and the Smithers Arts and Culture Service. This estimate is conservative and should be reviewed again for the 2021 budget.

October 10, 2019



There is no proposed charge for inactive services. For inactive services with a surplus an administrative charge of \$300 is proposed. This fee may be waived depending on the reason the service is inactive.

During the short term, administration charges will be reduced for a particular service, if the charge would impact the current budgeted grant to its beneficiary because of a bylaw's maximum taxation limits being met.

The analysis for the distribution of administration costs to the other (more complex) services will be brought forward to a future meeting.

These estimates will be reviewed annually by staff to make sure they are still representative of the costs associated with managing these services.

Recommendation:

(All/Directors/Majority)

"That the Rural Directors committee direct staff to include the above administrative charges for the discussed Local Service Budgets."

Contraction of the second

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO STAFF REPORT

To: From:	Rural Directors' Committee John Illes, Chief Financial Officer
Date:	October 10, 2019
Subject:	Draft Local Service Budgets

# **Overview:**

The financial staff will bring forward the local service budgets for the Rural Directors over the next several meetings. The goal is to have the local service budgets approved for inclusion in the 2020 Financial Plan by the end of December.

The unexpected can always happen and changes can be accommodated up until the budget bylaw adoption if they are of a critical urgency.

Some of the budgets include contributions from municipalities. If the Electoral Area Directors are comfortable with the draft budget that includes a municipality, staff will bring forward the budget to the municipal director involved for their review.

Some of the local budgets will be presented later:

- Fort Fraser local area budgets including sewer and water will be presented first for discussion to the Fort Fraser local commission in either November or December.
- Local Fire Services budgets where the service is contracted from a municipality will be available for directors to review in February of 2020 after the draft budgets are prepared by the municipality.
- Emergency Services (an Electoral Area local service) is currently being prepared by the Director of Protective Services working with the CAO and the Finance Department. This budget will be presented at the November Committee Meeting. This includes the budgets for the four Regional District Fire Departments.
- The Smithers Rural Recreation and Culture budget draft is prepared by the Town of Smithers and will be available for review in February.
- The Vanderhoof Pool budget is prepared by the Town of Vanderhoof and will be included in the Area F Pool Grant service and will be available for review in February.
- The Bulkley Valley Pool Budget receives funding information from the Aquatic Management Society in February and the Budget is available for review in early March.

Recommendations are provided for each Directors consideration. If a Director needs more time to gather information from a grant recipient, they may wish to delay making a recommendation at the committee meeting. If the Directors wish to make changes to



any budget presented, financial staff can work with the Director to bring an updated budget as a supplemental item to the October 10<sup>th</sup> meeting.

## Discussion:

# Local Economic Development Services:

Stuart-Nechako Economic Development Service had no activity in 2019. The funds are continued forward for 2020. There is no planned taxation for 2020. It appears that this bylaw may no longer be utilized, if this is indeed the case the Directors should consider repealing bylaw 1460. If the contributing directors to this service wish to continue with their own Electoral Area economic development plans (like Areas A, B, E and G), staff can introduce an establishment bylaw on your request.

Electoral Area A – Economic Development: Currently \$5,000 has been utilized in 2019. This draft budget assumes that \$10,000 in taxation will be continued for 2020.

Electoral Area B – Economic Development: Currently \$63,419 is in the budget (the Electoral Area B portion of the old Lakes District Economic Development Bylaw).

Electoral Area E – Economic Development: Currently \$10,000 has been spent from the 2019 budget. Staff are assuming that the grant for the Visitor Information Centre will continue and the grant to SEDA will be complete in 2020. Because of the large surplus in 2019, staff have proposed a zero-tax budget for 2020.

Electoral Area G – The current direction to staff is that this service should see minimal taxation and its current primary purpose is to hold capital funds from the Northern Capital and Planning Grant.

Directors are encouraged to approach the Chief Financial Officer about their proposed 2020 Economic Development Plans.

*Clucluz Lake Sewer* (Electoral Area F) – Taxation is proposed to remain the same for 2020 as in 2019. The purpose of the taxation is to build a capital and engineering reserve for future use.

*Lake Kathlyn Aquatic Weed Harvesting and Glacier Gulch Water Diversion* (Electoral Area A) – The Society has indicated to staff that they wish to maintain the current budget for 2020. Staff have proposed a budget with the same costs as 2019. Any surplus from the Glacier Gulch Water Diversion service for 2019 will be allocated to the Glacier Gulch Water Diversion Capital Reserve

*Victim Services:* The Burns Lake & Area Victim Services and the Smithers Victim Services grants are proposed to remain the same for 2020 as were provided in 2019.

October 10, 2019



*Lakes District Airport* (Area B and E): The Airport Manager has indicated that he wishes no change for the 2020 budget. Taxation has dropped by \$8,000 primarily due to the decrease in capital reserve contribution. Capital reserve contribution doubles in 2022 after the five-year loan is complete.

*Smithers Para Transit:* The current direction to staff is not to tax for this service until 2023.

*Fort St. James Seniors Helping Seniors* (Area C): This local service has reached its maximum grant of \$43,000. This grant amount is continued in 2020.

*Telkwa Pedestrian Crosswalk* (Area A): This local service has reached its maximum grant of \$1,000. This grant amount is continued in 2020.

**Street Lighting Services** (Areas A, B, C, and D): Hydro is requesting an increase of 0.72% for 2020. A budget increase of electrical costs of 1% over the 2019 electrical rates has been included to cover this increase and provide a small contingency in 2020.

*Fort St. James Arena Grant* (Area C): This grant continues with a budget of \$37,500. The last increase was in 2018 and the bylaw could again be reviewed for increase in 2023.

**Burns Lake Arena Grant (Areas B and E):** The loan for this service was completed in 2019; therefore grants for debt repayment and capital projects are to be discontinued. The purpose of this grant is to provide a portion of the Arena's operating cost every year. Due to the nature of the change in this service, a meeting between the Directors of Area B and Area E and the Village of Burns Lake Council (and appropriate staff) is recommended to further discuss this budget.

*Vanderhoof Recreation and Culture* (Area F): The grant continues at \$95,985 as part of the current agreement with the District of Vanderhoof.

Lakes District Arts and Culture Service (Area B and Area E): Several costs are no longer applicable in the 2020 budget: the accounting expense and the repayment of referendum costs have been eliminated. Lakes District Arts Council have indicated they are very pleased with their annual grant and do not wish an increase to their budget. Burns Lake Library wishes to increase their budget by an estimated \$33,000 for 2020 and wish to present the rationale for the increase to the service contributors. The Lakes District Festival Society wish to present to the associated directors to receive a small monthly grant in 2020. Due to the nature of this service, a meeting between the Directors of Area B and Area E and the Village of Burns Lake Council (and appropriate staff) is recommended to further discuss this budget.

*Cemetery Grants* (Areas B, C and G): The Grants continue for the maintenance of the Fort Fraser and Topley local cemeteries in 2020, the Societies have not brought forward any funding concerns.



**Smithers, Telkwa, Houston Television Rebroadcasting** (Areas A and G): The Society has indicated that they are well funded after the increase in 2018. The budget for this Society is proposed to continue at \$60,000.

*Fraser Lake and Area Television Broadcasting* (Area D): This year the budget increases to \$83,750 (the 2019 amount was \$73,375) as part of the two-part 25% grant increase (with the first 12.5% increase happening in 2019 and the last half proposed to happen in 2020. The 2018 amount was \$67,000.

*Fort St. James and Area Television Broadcasting* (Area C): This year the budget is maintained and is at the bylaw maximum. The bylaw may be reviewed again in 2021 for an increase.

**Burns Lake and Area Television Rebroadcasting** (Area B and E): This year sees a small decrease as the need for a capital grant contribution decreased. The capital grant contribution is designed to eventually replace the towers at Verdun and Boer Mountain. One half of the \$100,000 cost is to be placed in a capital reserve to allow for the ability to apply for "matching" grant funds. The adequacy of the capital reserve will be reviewed in 2021.

*Fraser Lake Library* (Area D): This year the budget increases to \$36,234 (the 2019 amount was \$30,195) as part of the two-part 50% grant increase (with the first 25% increase happening in 2019 and the last half proposed to happen in 2020. The 2018 amount was \$24,156.

*Fort St. James Library Grant* (Area C): The maximum grant of \$17,187 was reached in 2019. This same grant is proposed in 2020. The next bylaw review for increase is in 2024.

**Community Hall Grants (**Areas D and F): The maximum grant for both the Fort Fraser Community Hall and the Braeside Community Hall is currently being provided and are proposed again for 2020. The Fort Fraser Community Hall bylaw is currently eligible for a 25% increase.

The cost summary of local area services discussed in this memo is in the attached table:



	Per \$1,000 of assessed value						
	All E	A	Parti	ial EA	Со	mbined	
Area A	\$	0.035	\$	0.030	\$	0.065	
Area B	\$	1.459	\$	, ĕ	\$	1.459	
Area C	\$	0.027	\$	0.615	\$	0.642	
Area D	\$	0.216	\$	-	\$	0.216	
Area E	\$	0.688	\$	0.502	\$	1.190	
Area F	\$	-	\$	0.362	\$	0.362	
Area G	\$	-	\$	0.030	\$	0.030	Table 1

Services that include the whole of an electoral Area is in the first column. Services that encompass only a portion of an Electoral Area are in the second column. Some services are not included - these are the Street Lighting Services and services that utilize parcel tax. As an example, those residents in Area E, living in the Burns Lake Arena Service Area, will be taxed at \$1.190 per \$1000 of assessed value.

This chart only includes those local services discussed in this memo (and does not include those that will be presented later such as Protective Services or Vanderhoof Pool.

Recommendation:

(All/Directors/Majority)

1. That Electoral Area Directors (Area A – Telkwa and Smithers; Area B – Burns Lake; Area C – Fort St. James; and Area F – Vanderhoof) attend the municipal budget deliberations for the fire department associated with their local contracted fire.

2. That the Electoral Area Director for Area A attend the Town of Smithers municipal budget deliberations for Smithers Recreation and Culture Service.

3. That the Electoral Area Director for Area F attend the Town of Vanderhoof municipal budget deliberations for the operation of the Vanderhoof Pool.

4. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to repeal the Stuart-Nechako Economic Development Service and that any surplus be transferred to the Economic Development Department for projects in the Stuart-Nechako area.

5. That the Rural Directors provide their 2020 Electoral Area economic development plans to the financial department.

6. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include the Clucluz Lake Sewer budget in the 2020 Financial Plan.

October 10, 2019



7. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include the Lake Kathlyn Aquatic Weed Harvesting and Glacier Gulch Water Diversion Service budgets in the 2020 Financial Plan.

8. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include the Burns Lake & Area Victim Services and the Smithers Victim Services budgets in the 2020 Financial Plan.

9. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include the Lakes District Airport Service budget in the 2020 Financial Plan.

10. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include the Fort St. James Seniors Helping Seniors budget in the 2020 Financial Plan.

11. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include the Telkwa Pedestrian Crosswalk Service budget in the 2020 Financial Plan.

12. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include the Street Lighting Services in the 2020 Financial Plan.

13. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include the Fort St. James Arena Grant Service budget in the 2020 Financial Plan.

14. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include the Vanderhoof Recreation and Culture Grant Service budget in the 2020 Financial Plan.

15. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include the Fort Fraser and Topley Cemetery Grant budgets in the 2020 Financial Plan.

16. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include Budget for the Smithers, Telkwa, Houston Television Rebroadcasting Grant in the 2020 Financial Plan.

17. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include Budget for the Fraser Lake and Area Television Broadcasting Grant in the 2020 Financial Plan.

18. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include Budget for the Fort St. James and Area Television Broadcasting Grant in the 2020 Financial Plan.

19. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include Budget for the Burns Lake and Area Television Rebroadcasting Grant in the 2020 Financial Plan.

20. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include Budget for the Fraser Lake Library Grant in the 2020 Financial Plan.

October 10, 2019



21. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include Budget for the Fort St. James Library Grant in the 2020 Financial Plan.

22. That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board to include Budget for the Fort Fraser Community Hall and Braeside Community Hall in the 2020 Financial Plan.

23. That the Rural Directors direct staff to arrange a meeting between Electoral Area Directors for Area B and Area E and the Village of Burns Lake Council to discuss the Lakes District Arts and Culture budget and the Burns Lake Arena Budget.

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO

Stuart-Nechako Economic Development		(	(2300)						
	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year Fin 2020	ancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE: 400004 Taxation - Apportionment on Population									
2001 Census Population Estimated Tax Rate per Capita	14,372	14,372	14,372		14,372				
Mumicipalities - Fraser Lake, Vanderhoof, Fort St. James Electoral Areas - "C", "D", and "F"									
Bylaw 1460, Tax Limitation - \$50,000 Levy on Improvements Only			0		0	O	0	0	0
446001 Grants In Lieu of Taxes									
446002 Grant in Lieu of Alcan Taxes			0		0	0	0	0	0
480001 Miscellaneous Income (Return of Funds from REDI)			0						
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	10,218	10,218	8,692	8,692	8,692				
TOTAL REVENUE	10,218	10,218	8,692	8,692	8,692			<u>(</u>	
EXPENDITURE: 600101 Director's Remuneration		737			2	2		њ. J	÷
600201 Director's Travel		321							
609011 Meeting Expense		396							
779999 Miscellaneous Expense		72	8,692	~	8,692				
799999 Prior Year Deficit	*	1,526	8,692	•	8,692	<b>3</b> 7		-	<u></u>
Revenues minus Expenditures	10,218	8,692	-	8,692	190	3 <b>0</b> 0		-	

## **REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO** ELECTORAL AREA "A" ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

(2401)

201	7 2018	2019	2019	Five Year Fina				
Actua	al Actual	Budget	Actual	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024

#### REVENUE:

400004 <u>Taxation</u> Electoral Area "A"

Taxation on Improvements Only

Revenue	es minus Expenditures	: <b>:</b> :	10,000	2	15,000	-	•	3		3
TOTAL E	EXPENDITURE	40,000		20,000	5,000	25,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
799999	Prior Year's Deficit									
612804	I Special Projects			20,000	5,000	25,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
<b>EXPEND</b> 612220	ITURE: Monthly Grants Bulkley Valley Economic Development Association	40,000						÷	*	÷
TOTALE	REVENUE	40,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	25,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
						15,000				<u></u>
499999	9 Prior Year's Surplus			10,000	10,000					
	Bylaw 1566, 2010, tax limit \$0.11 per \$1,000	40,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
	Estimated Residential Tax Rate on Land and Improvements (cents per \$-	0.06	0.01	0,01		0.01				
	Total Assessments - Land and Improvements (Completed Roll)	683,203,263	683,203,263	752,842,910		0.0173 752,842,910				
	Converted Hospital Assessments - Improvements Only (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate on Improvements Only (cents per \$1,000	51,854,682 0.08	51,854,682 0.02	57,786,858 0.0173		57,786,858				

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO ELECTORAL AREA "B" ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

(2402)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year Fina 2020	ancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE:									
Taxation 400004 Electoral Area "B"									
Taxation on Improvements Only									
Converted Hospital Assessments - Improvements Only (Completed Roll)			23,090,681		23,090,681				
Estimated Residential Tax Rate on Improvements Only (cents per \$1,000) Total Assessments - Land and Improvements (Completed Roll)		-	206,449,663		0.2747 206,449,663				
Estimated Residential Tax Rate on Land and Improvements (cents per \$1,00)	0)	2	0.01		0.31				
Bylaw 1867 No Limit					63,419	63,169	63,169	63,169	63,169
499999 Prior Year's Surplus									
TOTAL REVENUE			-	3	63,419	63,169	63,169	63,169	63,169
EXPENDITURE: 612220 Monthly Grants									
612240 Grant to Village of Burns Lake (for Info Centre) 60.9%					22,639	22,639	22,639	22,639	22,639
612241 Grant to Village of Burns Lake (For EDO)					40,531	40,531	40,531	40,531	40,531
612801 Special Projects					0	0	0	0	0
799999 Establishment Costs					250				
TOTAL EXPENDITURE			231		63,419	63,169	63,169	63,169	63,169
Revenues minus Expenditures	8	(124)	(e)		30 10		1	8	

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - ELECTORAL AREA "E"

(2405)

		2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	Year end Actual	Five Year Fin 2020	ancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
	<u>n</u> ral Area "E" ments Only									
Convert	ted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) ed Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	14,388,418 0.0573	14,388,418 0.0481	15,147,276 0.0660		15,147,276				
Bylaw 18	868 No Limit	8,249	6,927	10,000	10,000		10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
	Lieu of Alcan Taxes	11,781	9,789	12,751	12,751	0	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
499999 Prior Yea	ar's Surplus	<mark>9,751</mark>	<mark>21,981</mark>	29,697	29,697	42,448				
TOTAL REVENUE	-	29,781	38,697	52,448	52,448	42,448	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
EXPENDITURE: 607001 Legal Ex										28
609011 Supplies 612220 South	-			45 000	0	45.000				
	iside Economic Development Association JAL Village of Burns Lake Visitor Information Ce	9.000	9.000	15,000 10,000	0 10,000	15,000 10,000	0 10,000	0 10,000	0 10,000	0 10,000
	Projects Contingency	800	3,000	27,448	-	17,198	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
779999 Miscellar			12	1		250				,
781001 Contribu	ution to Reserve	(2,000)		51		5 <b>5</b> 1	1.00		-	ā;
799999 Prior Yea	ar's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITU		7,800	9,000	52,448	10,000	42,448	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
Revenues minus Ex	xpenditures	21,981	29,697	1.5	42,448	17.) ·	. <del></del>			

#### REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO ELECTORAL AREA "G" ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

(2406)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year Fina 2020	ncial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE:	Actual	Actual	Buuger	Actual	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
400004 Electoral Area "G" Taxation on Improvements Only									
Converted Hospital Assessments - Improvements Only (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate on Improvements Only (cents per \$1,000) Total Assessments - Land and Improvements (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate on Land and Improvements (cents per \$1,0	000)		9,674,898 107,799,336		9,674,898 0.0026 107,799,336 0.00				
Bylaw 1875 No Limit					250			81	
499999 Prior Year's Surplus									
TOTAL REVENUE		15	•		250	2	- Sector		
EXPENDITURE: 612220 Monthly Grants									
Establishment Costs					250				
612801 Special Projects					0	0	0	0	0
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	-	•:		*	250		-		
Revenues minus Expenditures	•	-	100	¥	-	1		: <b>*</b> `	

### REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO LAKE KATHLYN AQUATIC WEED HARVESTING

(5902)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year F 2020	inancial Plan 2021	: 2022	2023	2024
REVENUE:									
Taxation 400003 Parcel Tax									
Number of Parcels Parcel Tax per Parcel	54 138	54 153	54 152		54 153				
400004 Portion of Electoral Area "A" By-law No. 969, 1996 - No limitation	7,472	8,272	8,272	8,191	8,267	8,281	8,256	8,256	8,256
450002 Fees from Watson's Landing	544	544	544	544	544	544	544	544	544
450001 Payment in lieu of taxes - Town of Smithers	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	9	9	9	90	14	(m)			÷
TOTAL REVENUE	8,175	8,975	8,975	8,975	8,975	8,975	8,950	8,950	8,950
EXPENDITURE:									
606003 Advertising - parcel tax local court of revision	166	166	175	189	175	175	150	150	150
612201 Annual Contribution to Society	8,000	8,000	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	8,166	8,166	8,975	8,989	8,975	8,975	8,950	8,950	8,950
Revenues minus Expenditures	9	809	×	(14)	-	540	5 <b>8</b> 0	2	÷

REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY NECHAKO GLACIER GULCH WATER DIVERSION

(5903)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year F 2020	inancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE:			0						
Taxation									
400003 Parcel Tax Number of Parcels	54		57						
Parcel Tax per Parcel	54 45	55 48	55 48		55 48				
400004 Portion of Electoral Area "A" By-law No. 1816, 2017 - \$6,250 Limitation	0.400	0.000	0.000		0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050
By-law No. 1016, 2017 - \$6,250 Linitation	2,420	2,662	2,662		2,653	2,653	2,653	2,653	2,653
420001 Transfer from Capital Reserve Fund									
450002 Fee from Watson's Landing	180	180	180		180	180	180	180	180
450001 Fees in lieu of taxes - Town of Smithers	25	25	25		25	25	25	25	25
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	16,573	13,485	10,147						
TOTAL REVENUE	19,198	16,352	13,014		2,858	2,858	2,858	2,858	2,858
EXPENDITURE: 603004 Maintenance/Reconstruction of Water Diversion	3,942	6,120	7,706		2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550
606003 Advertising - parcel tax local court of revision	166	85	175		175	175	175	175	175
607001 Legal	1,605								
781004 Capital/Equipment Reserve			5,000						
608004 Water Licence			133		133	133	133	133	133
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	5,713	6,205	13,014	-	2,858	2,858	2,858	2,858	2,858
Revenues minus Expenditures	13,485	10,147	~	ŝ	ă			8	Ĩ

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO BURNS LAKE & AREA VICTIM SERVICES

(7701)

		-		(1701)						
		2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	Year end Actual	Five Year Fin 2020	ancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
<b>REVENUE:</b>										
	Taxation on Improvements only									
400004	Taxes apportioned 60% VBL, 20% Area "B" and 20 Electoral Areas "B" & "E"	% Area "E"								
400005										
	Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll)	49,837,031	51,084,886	53,032,997		53,032,997				
	Estimated Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	VBL 0	0.036	0.0450		0.0522				
		Area B 0 Area E 0.01	0.007 0.011	0.0096 0.0147		0.0112 0.0170				
	Bylaw 1682, 2013					1.				
	Tax Limit \$20,000									
		5,646	8,254	11,107		12,880	13,380	13,380	13,380	13,380
446001	I Grants in Lieu of Taxes	102	178	0		0	0	0	0	0
446002	2 Grant in Lieu of Alcan Taxes	1,613	2,344	2,795		2,795	2,795	2,795	2,795	2,795
480001	Misc Revenue	8,263		0		0				32
	Prior Year's Surplus	7,223	7,172	2,273		500				
TOTAL REV	'ENUE	22,847	17,948	16,175		16,175	16,175	16,175	16,175	16,175
EXPENDITU	IRE:									
611001	Repayment of Alternative Approval Costs									
612240	) Annual Grant to the Village of Burns lake	15,675	15,675	15,675		15,675	15,675	15,675	15,675	15,675
605999	9 Special Projects Contingency			500		500	500	500	500	500
799999	Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXP	ENDITURE	15,675	15,675	16,175	12	16,175	16,175	16,175	16,175	16,175
Revenues m	ninus Expenditures	7,172	2,273	.=	( <b>-</b> )		-	×	3 <b>-</b> 3	-

# **REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO** Smithers Victim Services

# (7702)

		2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year Finance 2020	<b>ial Plan:</b> 2021	2022	2023	2024
<b>REVENUE</b> :	Taxation on Land and Improvements									
	Electoral Area "A" Town of Smithers and Village of Telkwa									

Converted Hospital Assessmen Estimated Tax Rate (cents per		185,967,874 0.0225 0.0225	185,967,874 0.0190 0.0190	204,741,761 0.0173 0.0173	204	I,741,761 0.0181 0.0181				
	Area A	0.0225	0.0190	0.0173		0.0181				
Bylaw 1776, 2016										
Tax Limit \$45,000										
		41,825	35,349	35,438		36,973	37,343	37,716	38,093	38,474
446001 Grants in Lieu of Taxes		625	534							
499999 Prior Year's Surplus			1,625	1,535		14				
TOTAL REVENUE		42,450	37,508	36,973	â /	36,973	37,343	37,716	38,093	38,474 W
EXPENDITURE:										
611001 Repayment of Alternative Appro	oval Costs	4,852								
612240 Annual Grant to Nothern Societ	y for Domestic Peace	35,973	35,973	36,973		36,973	37,343	37,716	38,093	38,474
605999 Special Projects Contingency				0		0	0	0	0	0
799999 Prior Year's Deficit										
TOTAL EXPENDITURE		40,825	35,973	36,973	-	36,973	37,343	37,716	38,093	38,474
Revenues minus Expenditures		1,625	1,535		-	-	17. 17.			

### REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO CLUCULZ LAKE - SOMERSET ESTATES SEWER

(6301)

2017	2018	2019	Year end	Five Year Fi	nancial Plan:			
Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024

**REVENUE:** 

450001 Connection Fees

400003 Taxation									
Number of Parcels Parcel Tax per Parcel	88	88	88		88 29.55				
By-law No. 1860 (829) -\$11,250 Limitation			2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
499999 Prior Years Surplus	3,358	3,358	2,608	2,608	5,119	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE	3,358	3,358	5,208	5,208	7,719	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
EXPENDITURE:									
606003 Advertising Parcel Tax Local Court of Revision			150	89	150	150	150	150	150
607001 Legal and Administration			500	0	500				
779999 Miscellaneous Expense	3,358	3,358	4,558	0	7,069	2,450	2,450	2,450	2,450
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	3,358	3,358	5,208	89	7,719	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
Revenues minus Expenditures		5 <b>4</b> 0		5,119	-	-		220	-

LAKES DISTRICT AIRPORT									
	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year F 2020	inancial Plan 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE: Taxation on Improv. Only 400004 Electoral Areas "B" & "E"									
400005 Village of Burns Lake									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	49,837,031 0.2027	51,084,886 0.2135	53,032,997 0.2074		53,032,997 0.1914				
Limitation = \$190,000 per Bylaw No. 1751, 2015	100,998	109,056	109,965		101,527	101,227	91,002	91,002	91,002
420001 Withdrawal from Capital Reserve									
430003 Debenture Debt									
442101 Grants									
441001 Donations and other Grants									
446001 Grants in Lieu of Taxes	814	1,063	500		500	500	500	500	500
446002 Grant in lieu of Alcan Taxes	38,972	44,762	40,998		40,998	40,998	40,998	40,998	40,998
480001 Miscellaneous Revenue									
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	11,537	8,521	12,062		ž				
TOTAL REVENUE	152,321	163,402	163,525	32.	143,025	142,725	132,500	132,500	132,500
EXPENDITURE: 612220 Monthly Grant to LD Airport Society	75,000	80,000	82,500		82,500	82,500	82,500	82,500	82,500
605999 Contingency			10,000		0	0	0	0	0
784001 Principal Payments on 5 year loan (2017-2021) 783001 Interest Payments	33,525 2,483	33,525 2,815	33,525 2,500		33,525 2,000	33,525 1,700			
611001 Repayment of Referendum Costs	12,207								
612201 Grant to Airport Society for Capital Expenditures	15,585		0		0				
781001 Contribution to Capital Reserve	5,000	35,000	35,000		25,000	25,000	50,000	50,000	50,000
779999 Miscellaneous Expense									
799999 Prior year's deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	143,800	151,340	163,525	(a)	143,025	142,725	132,500	132,500	132,500
Revenues minus Expenditures	8,521	12,062		3 <b>2</b> /1	17. V	12.1		1.51	-

35

**REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY NECHAKO** 

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO SMITHERS PARA-TRANSIT

(8201)

		2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year I 2020	Financial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
<b>REVENUE:</b>										
400004	TAXATION Electoral Area "A"									
	Taxation on Improvements only									
	Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	51,854,682 0.0096	52,569,062 0.0095	57,958,211	5	57,958,211				
	Bylaw No.656, 1992: Limitation \$5,000	5,000	5,000			0	0	0	5,000	5,000
446001	Grants in Lieu of Taxes									
499999	Prior Year's Surplus									
TOTAL REVI	ENUE:	5,000	5,000	(a)	22) 	1	/4	12	5,000	5,000
EXPENDITU	RE:									
	Annual Grant to Town of Smithers	5,000	5,000			0	0	0	5,000	5,000
799999	Prior Year Deficit									36
	R.									0)
TOTAL EXPE	ENDITURE:	5,000	5,000		( <b>*</b> )		-	-	5,000	5,000
Revenues m	inus Expenditures	ŝ	ŝ	-	аў.			Ē	31	

22
# **REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO**

# Fort St. James Seniors Helping Seniors Transportation Service

(8202)

	2017		2019	2019		Five Yea	ar Financia	I Plan:	
	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE:									
400004 Taxation - Land and Improvements									
District of Fort St. James and a Portion of Electora	Il Area "C"								
Converted Hospital Assessments	44,629,121	53,781,078	54,044,251		54,044,251				
Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	0.09	0.08	0.08		0.0781				
By-law No.1750 Tax limit \$43,000	42,364	43,000	41,806		42,200	43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000
446001 Grants in Lieu of Taxes	700	1,194	500		800	800	800	800	800
499999 Surplus	738	700	1,194		0				
TOTAL REVENUE	- 43,802	44,894	43,500	1	43,000	43,800	43,800	43,800	43,800
EXPENDITURE:									
605999 Contingency			500						
612201 Grant to District of Fort St. James	43,000	43,700	43,000		43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000
611001 Repayment of Alternative Approval Costs	102								
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
	10 100	(0.700							
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	43,102	43,700	43,500	*	43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000
	700	1,194	5 <b>2</b> 0	<b>a</b> (					

## REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO TELKWA PEDESTRIAN CROSSWALK

## (8301)

REVENUE:	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year Fi 2020	i <b>nancial Plan:</b> 2021	2022	2023	2024
Taxtion on Improvements Only 400004 Electoral Area "A"									
Converted Hospital Improvements Only (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	52,129,319 0.0019	51,854,682 0.0019	57,958,211 0.0017		57,958,211 0.0017				
Bylaw 646, 1991: Limitation \$1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
446001 Grants in Lieu of Taxes									
499999 Prior Year's Surplus			0		0				
TOTAL REVENUE:	1,000	1,000	1,000		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
EXPENDITURE: 612201 Annual Grant to the Village of Telkwa	1,000	1,000	1,000		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE:	1,000	1,000	1,000	۲	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Revenues minus Expenditures	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	2	÷			2 <b>4</b>	9	<u>i</u>	ω - 00

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO DECKER LAKE STREET LIGHTING

(9101)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year F 2020	<b>inancial Plan</b> 2021	: 2022	2023	2024
REVENUE: 400003 Taxation Specified portion of Electoral Area B Parcel Tax									
Number of Parcels Parcel Tax per Parcel	58 164.42	58 162.33	58 171.65		58 178.17				
Bylaw 123, 1976 No limitation	9,536	9,415	9,956		10,334	10,853	11,398	11,970	12,571
450001 School District # 91 Charge for 2 lights on School's driveway	350	350	350		350	350	350	350	350
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	195	326	275		0				
TOTAL REVENUE	10,081	10,091	10,581	14	10,684	11,203	11,748	12,320	12,921
EXPENDITURE 602001 Utilities - Hydro 35 x 150 Watt Street Lights @ \$18.61mo + 5% rate rider + 7%PST/mo + 5% cushion for possible rate increase	9,461	9,666	10,281		10,384	10,903	11,448	12,020	12,621
606003 Advertising Parcel Tax Local Court of Revision	294	150	300		300	300	300	300	300
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	9,755	9,816	10,581	_	10,684	11,203	11,748	12,320	12,921
Revenues minus Expenditures	326	275	2.00	×	-	-	2	3 <b>-</b> 3.	

39

#### REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO ENDAKO STREET LIGHTING

(9102)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	Year end Actual	Five Year F 2020	Financial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE: 400004 Taxation Specified Portion of Electoral Area D Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Experimental Residential Tay Data (containing 24,000)	173,207	175,906	179,547		179,547				
Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000) Bylaw 130, 1976 No limitation	1.8513 3,207	1.7944 3,157	1.8453 3,313		1.9493 3,500	3,691	3,891	4,102	4,323
460001 Ministry of Highways Cost Recovery	316	321	320		320	320	320	320	320
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	171	221	148		0				
TOTAL REVENUE	3,694	3,699	3,782		3,820	4,011	4,211	4,422	4,643
EXPENDITURE: 602001 Utilities - Hydro There are 7 x 150 Watt street lights @ \$18,61/mo and 7 x 100 Watt street lights @ \$15,61/mo. + 5% rate rider + 7% PST/mo + 5% cushion for possible rate increase	3,473	3,551	3,782		3,820	4,011	4,211	4,422	4,643
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	3,473	3,551	3,782	2	3,820	4,011	4,211	4,422	4,643
Revenues minus Expenditures	221	148	(0)	340	365	240	2	÷	1

.....

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO FORT FRASER STREET LIGHTING

(9103)

		2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	Year end Actual	Five Year Fi 2020	inancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
<b>REVENUE</b> : 400004	Taxation									
	Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	993,459 0.734	1,045,446 0.6987	1,066,989 0.7246		1,066,989 0.7548				
	Specified Portion of Electoral Area D "Letters Patent", Land & Improvements, No limit	7,292	7,304	7,731		8,054	8,376	8,819	9,285	9,774
460001	Ministry of Highways Cost Recovery	494	499	490		490	490	490	490	490
499999	Prior Year's Surplus	817	805	639		0				
TOTAL REVI	ENUE	8,603	8,608	8,860	146	8,544	8,866	9,309	9,775	10,264
	RE: Utilities - Hydro There are 23 x 150 Watt street lights @ \$20.52/mo and 7 x 100 Watt street lights @ \$17.21/mo. + 5% rate rider + 7% PST +5% per year cushion for possible rate increases	7,798	7,969	8,360		8,444	8,866	9,309	9,775	10,264 <b>4</b>
779999	Misc. Expense			500		100			-	19) 191
	Prior Year Deficit ENDITURE	7,798	7,969	8,860	-	8,544	8,866	9,309	9,775	10,264
Revenues m	inus Expenditures	805	639		10	÷.	<b>.</b>		, <del>13</del> 5	

REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO GEROW ISLAND STREET LIGHTING

(9104)

	2017	2018	2019	Year end		Financial Plan			
	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE:									
400004 Taxation									
Specified Portion Electoral Area "B"									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	790,057 0.50	786,432 0.52	760,847		760,847				
Estimates (Coldential Tax Nate (Cents per \$1,000)	0.50	0.52	0.5720		0.5821				
Bylaw 456, 1985 No Limitation	3,954	4,055	4,352		4,429	4,650	4,883	5,127	5,383
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	222	121	33		0				
TOTAL REVENUE	4,176	4,176	4,385	•	4,429	4,650	4,883	5,127	5,383
EXPENDITURE: 602001 Utilities - Hydro									
There are 15 x 150 Watt street lights @ \$19.73 + 5% rate rider + 7% PST/mo +5% per year cushion for possible rate increases	4,176	4,143	4,385		4,429	4,650	4,883	5,127	5,383
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	4,176	4,143	4,385	30	4,429	4,650	4,883	5,127	5,383
Revenues minus Expenditures	×	33	0	æ.	÷		( <b>•</b> ):		-

## REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO COLONY POINT STREET LIGHTING

(9106)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2019 Actual	Five Year Fina 2020	ancial Plan: 2019	2020	2021	2022
REVENUE: 400004 Taxation - Land and Improvements Portion Electoral Area 'C'									
Converted Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000) Total Assessments (Completed Roll) Tax Rate on Total Assessments	1,178,100 0.31 11,781,000 0.31	1,267,850 0.31 12,678,500 0.31	1,232,050 0.2351 12,320,500 0.24		1,232,050 0.2381 12,320,500 0.24				
Bylaw 1792, 2017 Tax Limit \$0.36/\$1,000 on Total Assessments	3,616	3,884	2,896		2,934	3,081	3,235	3,397	3,566
480001 Miscellaneous Revenue									
499999 Prior Year's Surplus			838		0				
TOTAL REVENUE	3,616	3,884	3,734		2,934	3,081	3,235	3,397	3,566
EXPENDITURE: 602001 Utilities - Hydro There are 10 x 150 Watt street lights @ \$18.61/mo + 5% rate rider + 7% PST/mo +5% per year cushion for possible rate increases	3,514	2,762	2,905		2,934 ••	3,081	3,235	3,397	3,566
Contingency			829						
780101 Amortization Expense									
779999 Miscellaneous Expenditures (Street Light Installation)									
799999 Prior Year's Deficit	386	284							
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	3,900	3,046	3,734	S <b>a</b> (	2,934	3,081	3,235	3,397	3,566
Revenues minus Expenditures	(284)	838	2	•	8	2 <del>3</del> .			

#### REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO LAIDLAW STREET LIGHTING SERVICE

(9107)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2019 Actual	Five Year Fir 2020	ancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE: 400004 Taxation - Land and Improvements Specified Portion of Electoral Area "A"									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)		5,048,400 0.0296	824,300 0.1771		824,300 0.1072				
Bylaw 1809 - 2017 Tax Limit \$1,500 on Total Assessments		1,496	1,460		884	901	946	994	1,044
480001 Miscellaneous Revenue									
499999 Prior Year's Surplus									
TOTAL REVENUE		1,496	1,460	-	884	901	946	994	1,044
EXPENDITURE: 611001 Repayment of Alternative Approval Costs		700	552						
602001 Utilities - Hydro There are 3 x 150 Watt street lights @ \$19.73/mo + 5% rate rider + 7% PST/mo +5% per year cushion for possible rate increases Contingency		829	875		884	901	946	994	1,044
780101 Amortization Expense									
779999 Miscellaneous Expenditures (Street Light Installation)									
799999 Prior Year's Deficit			33						
TOTAL EXPENDITURE		1,529	1,460		884	901	946	994	1,044
Revenues minus Expenditures	35	(33)	=	-		-		-	

#### REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO FORT ST. JAMES ARENA GRANT

#### (10201)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year Fi 2020	<b>nancial Plan:</b> 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE:									
400004 Taxation									
Portion of Electoral Area C LSA #24									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll)	18,836,206	19,595,241	19,760,812		19,760,812				
Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	0.16	0.1914	0.1898		0.1898				
Total Assessments Tax Rate on Total Assessments	171,326,326	178,119,251	179,590,417		179,590,417				
Tax Rate on Total Assessments	0.18	0.21	0.21		0.21				
Limitation: \$0.120/\$1,000 for Operating Costs plus	30,000	37,500	37,500		37,500	37,500	37,500	37,500	37,500
\$0.120/\$1,000 for Capital Costs per Bylaw No. 1819, 2018						·	,		
446001 Grants in Lieu									
499999 Surplus from Prior Year			0		0				
TOTAL REVENUE	30,000	37,500	37,500		37,500	37,500	37,500	37,500	37,500
<b>EXPENDITURE:</b> 612201 Annual Grant to District of Fort St. James For operation of arena For capital improvements at arena	15,000 15,000	18,750 18,750	18,750 18,750		18,750 18,750	18,750 18,750	18,750 18,750	18,750 18,750	18,750 18,750
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	30,000	37,500	37,500	3	37,500	37,500	37,500	37,500	37,500
Revenues minus Expenditures		<b>浸</b> 的				-		я	

## REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO BURNS LAKE ARENA

# (10202)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year Fi 2020	<b>inancial Plan:</b> 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE:									
Taxation on Improvements Only 400004 Electoral Area B & Portion of E, 400005 Village of Burns Lake									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll)	36,829,566	37,766,934	39,332,051		39,332,051				
Estimated Residential Tax Rate - General	0.44	0.43	0.5745		0.5021				
Estimated Residential Tax Rate - Debt & Cap Res. Total Assessments	0.22 321,033,785	0.21 338,775,731	0.0402 358,825,282		0.0000				
Tax Rate on Total Assessments - Operating Costs	0.52	0.50	0.46		0.55				
Bylaw 1609, 2012 Limitation \$0.77 per \$1,000 land & improvement	nts								
Plus \$75,000 for debt repayment & capital until year 2019	243,086	243,250	241,796		197,500	197,500	197,500	197,500	197,500
420001 Transfer from capital reserve		60,000	14,500						
441001 Donations Received									
446001 Grants in Lieu of Taxes	2,650	3,206	2,500		2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
480001 Miscellaneous Revenue									
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	315	150	705		0				
TOTAL REVENUE	246,051	306,606	259,501	<u></u>	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000
EXPENDITURE:									
611001 Repayment of Refernedum Costs									
612201 Annual Grant to the Village of Burns Lake - Operating 612202 Annual Grant to the Village of Burns Lake - Capital Zamboni for 201 Replace Freon Plant in 2016 779999 Miscellaneous Expense	162,500 8	162,500 120,000	225,971 14,500		200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000
781001 Contribute to Capital Reserve	64,371	4,371							
Debt Repayment (Issue 71 until 2014 & 2019)									
783001 Interest expense	12,400	12,400	12,400						
784001 Principal	6,630	6,630	6,630						
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	245,901	305,901	259,501		200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000
Revenues minus Expenditures	150	705		~	N#6	-	323	240 240	Ä

46

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO VANDERHOOF RECREATION AND CULTURE

## (10302)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year F 2020	inancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE: Taxation 400004 Portion of Electoral Area "F" SRV#28 Taxtion on Improvements Only									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Est Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000) Improvements Only Total Assessments (Land & Improvements) Tax Rate on Total Assessments	21,111,852 0.45 243,505,886 0.39	24,348,319 0.39 274,025,927 0.35	26,483,593 0.3624 290,255,444 0.33		26,483,593 0.3624 290,255,444 0.3307				
Bylaw 1195, 2001: Limitation Greater of \$50,000 and \$0.4752 per \$1,000 of total assessments (land and improvements)	95,985	95,985	95,985		95,985	95,985	95,985	95,985	95,985
499999 Prior Year's Surplus									
TOTAL REVENUE:	95,985	95,985	95,985		95,985	95,985	95,985	95,985	95,985
EXPENDITURE: 612241 Annual Grant to the District of Vanderhoof 799999 Prior Year's Deficit	95,985	95,985	95,985		95,985	95,985	95,985	95,985	<sup>95,985</sup> 47
TOTAL EXPENDITURE:	95,985	95,985	95,985	( <b>#</b> ,	95,985	95,985	95,985	95,985	95,985
Revenues minus Expenditures	1		÷		07 <b>4</b> 5	121	÷	Ĕ	

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO LAKES DISTRICT ARTS AND CULTURE

## (10303)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year Fin 2020	nancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE:	/ lotubi	Actual	Budger	Acidai	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Taxation on Improvments only 400004 Electoral Areas "B" & "E" 400005 Village of Burns Lake									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000) Total Assessments (Improvements Only) Tax Rate of Total Assessments		ŧ	53,032,997 0.4576 516,420,860 0.4700		53,032,997 0.4233 516,420,860 0.4347				
Bylaw 1831, 2018: Limitation of \$0.55 per \$1,000 of total assessments			242,701		224,475	241,840	241,840	241,840	241,840
446002 Grant in Lieu of Alcan Taxes			86,365		86,365	70,000	70,000	70,000	70,000
499999 Prior Year's Surplus			0		0				
TOTAL REVENUE:		5 <b>9</b> 8	329,066		310,840	311,840	311,840	311,840	311,840
EXPENDITURE: 606001 Internet for Library			13,840		13,840	13,840	13,840	13,840	<sup>13,840</sup> ₽
607002 Accounting/Audit Expense			5,000			5,000	5,000	5,000	<sub>5,000</sub> 00
608002 Liability Insurance			500		500	500	500	500	500
611001 Repayment of Referendum Costs			13,226			*	-	-	
612100 Arts and Culture Grant in Aid			10,000		10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
612108 Arts and Culture Capital Grant			4,000		4,000	200			1 iin
612220 Annual Grant to the District Museum			48,000		48,000	48,000	48,000	48,000	48,000
612221 Annual Grant to the Burns Lake Library			227,000		227,000	227,000	227,000	227,000	227,000
612222 Annual Grant to the Lakes Distirct Arts Council			7,500		7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE:		523	329,066		310,840	311,840	311,840	311,840	311,840
Revenues minus Expenditures					-	=	15	:=5	

#### REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO FORT FRASER CEMETERY GRANT

# (10401)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year 2020	Financial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE: 400001 Taxation & Service Agreement	37	36	35		35	40	40	40	40
400004 Taxation Portions of Electoral Areas "D" & "F" LSA #6 Taxation on Improvements Only									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	5,459,297 0.0359	5,785,084 0.0340	5,619,053 0.0350		5,619,053 0.0350				
By-law No. 712, 1992 Limitation \$5,000.	1,958	1,968	1,964		1,965	1,960	1,960	1,960	1,960
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	2		1						
TOTAL REVENUE	1,997	2,004	2,000	55	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
EXPENDITURE: 612201 Annual Grant to Ft Fraser Cemetary Society	2,000	2,000	2,000		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
799999 Prior Year's Deficit		3	0						
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	2,000	2,003	2,000		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Revenues minus Expenditures	(3)	1			-	-	(#))	2 <b>2</b> 3	

## REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO TOPLEY CEMETERY GRANT

(10402)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	Year end Actual	Five Year F 2020	inancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE: 400004 Taxation Portion of Electoral Areas "B" & "G" Taxation on Improvements Only									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	2,312,160 0.0649	2,482,715 0.0604	2,666,082 0.0563		2,666,082 0.0563				
By-law No. 805 - \$2,500 limitation	1,500	1,500	1,500		1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
499999 Prior Year's Surplus					0				
TOTAL REVENUE	1,500	1,500	1,500	2	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
EXPENDITURE: 612201 Annual Grant to Topley Cemetery Society	1,500	1,500	1,500		1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
799999 Prior Years Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	1,500	1,500	1,500	-	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
Revenues minus Expenditures	(a)	8				્રે	ŝ	7	

#### REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO SMITHERS, TELKWA, HOUSTON TELEVISION REBROADCASTING

(10501)

		2017	2018	2019	2019	Five Year Fi	nancial Plan:			
		Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	2020	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>REVENUE:</b>										
REFEROE.	Taxation - (Municipalitiea Converted Assessments -Rural Im	nrovements Only)								
400004		provementa only/								
400005	Houston, Smithers, Telkwa &									
	Municipal Converted Assessments	146,585,590	151,600,437	158,122,698		158,122,698				
	Estimated Municipal Residential Tax Rate	0.02	0.0234	0.0221		0.0221				
	Rural Converted Assessments	73,290,009	75,392,412	81,963,010		81,963,010				
	Estimated Rural Residential Tax Rate	0.0274	0.0318	0.0298		0.0298				
	Bylaw 1818 EST 2018									
	Limitation 62,500	49,396	59,421	59,323		59,400	59,400	59,400	59,400	50 400
		43,330	55,421	59,525		59,400	59,400	59,400	59,400	59,400
446001	Grants in Lieu of Taxes	601	680	600		600	600	600	600	600
400000	Brier Veerle Surelue									
499999	Prior Year's Surplus			77		0				
TOTAL REVE	ENUE	49,997	60,101	60,000		60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000
EXPENDITU										
612201	Monthly Grant to Rebroadcasting Society	50,000	60,000	60,000		60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000
611001	Repayment of Feasibility Study Costs									
799999	Prior Year's Deficit	21	24							
TOTAL EXPE	ENDITURE	50,021	60,024	60,000		60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000
Revenues m	inus Expenditures	(24)	77	÷.		7	( <del>7</del> )			۲

## REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO FRASER LAKE AND AREA TELEVISION REBROADCASTING

(10502)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year F 2020	<b>inancial Plan:</b> 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE: 400001 Taxation & Service Agreement	380	335	380		380	380	380	380	380
Taxation 400004 Electoral Area "D" 400005 Village of Fraser Lake									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	43,426,214 0.0837	44,017,538 0.0787	44,521,057 0.0923		44,521,057 0.1214				
Bylaw 1855 Limitation \$ 83,750	36,338	34,623	41,072		54,045	54,303	54,303	54,303	54,303
446001 Grants in Lieu of Taxes	84	78							
446002 Grants in Lieu of Alcan Taxes	31,617	26,306	29,325		29,325	31,567	31,567	31,567	31,567
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	11,337	12,756	7,098		2,500				
TOTAL REVENUE	79,756	74,098	77,875	7	86,250	86,250	86,250	86,250	86,250
EXPENDITURE: 612220 Monthly Grant to Fraser Lake and District Television Society 611001 Reportment of Forsibility Study Costs	67,000	67,000	75,375		83,750	83,750	83,750	83,750	83,750
611001 Repayment of Feasibility Study Costs									
779999 Miscellaneous Expense									
605999 Contingency			2,500		2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	67,000	67,000	77,875		86,250	86,250	86,250	86,250	86,250
Revenues minus Expenditures	12,756	7,098	i 🖬 d	-	() <b>e</b> c	×	242	×.	-

REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO FORT ST. JAMES AND AREA TELEVISION REBROADCASTING			(10503)						
	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	Year end Actual	Five Year Fir 2020	nancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE: Taxation 400004 Portion of Electoral Area "C" LSA #9 400005 District of Ft. St. James									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	49,269,225 0.33	50,922,405 0.3167	51,255,385 0.3196		51,255,385 0.3201				
Bylaw No 1765, 2016 - Limitation \$164,062	161,150	161,262	163,801		164,062	164,062	164,062	164,062	164,062
446001 Grants in Lieu of Taxes	2,752	3,097	2,800		2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	662	552	899		0				
TOTAL REVENUE	164,564	164,911	167,500	(ie)	166,862	166,862	166,862	166,862	166,862
EXPENDITURE: 612220 Monthly Grant to Fort St. James Television Society	164,012	164,012	167,500		166,862	166,862	166,862	166,862	166,862
611001 Repayment of Feasibility Study Costs									
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	164,012	164,012	167,500	_225	166,862	166,862	166,862	166,862	166,862
Revenues minus Expenditures	552	899	-	200		-	346	-	5 <b>2</b> 2

53

## REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO BURNS LAKE AND AREA TELEVISION REBROADCASTING

# (10504)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year Fin 2020	ancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
	/ locali	Actual	Dudget	Actual	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE:									
Taxation on Improvments only 400004 Electoral Areas "B" & "E"									
400005 Village of Burns Lake									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll)	49,837,031	51,084,886	53,032,997		53,032,997				
Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	0.05	0.0663	0.0632		0.0560				
Total Assessments Tax Rate on Total Assessments	461,739,828 0.05	491,070,100 0.07	516,420,860		516,420,860				
Tax Nate of Fotal Assessments	0.05	0.07	0.0649		0.0576				
Bylaw 982, 1997: Limitation - Greater of \$30,000 and									
\$0.115/\$1,000 in net taxable assessments.	24,530	33,892	33,507		29,724	22,871	22,871	22,871	22,871
446001 Grants in Lieu of Taxes	198	330	190		190	100	100	400	400
	190	550	190		190	190	190	190	190
446002 Grant in Lieu of Alcan Taxes	8,677	14,200	12,586		12,586	9,440	9,440	9,440	9,440
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	4,390	7,795	1,217		0				
TOTAL REVENUE:	37,795	56,217	47,500	÷	42,500	32,500	32,500	32,500	32,500
EXPENDITURE:									54
607001 Legal Fees									-
612220 Monthly Grant to Burns Lake Rebroadcasting Society	30,000	30,000	32,500		32,500	32,500	32,500	32,500	32,500
611001 Repayment of Feasibility Study Costs									·
781001 Contribution to Capital Reserve		25,000	15,000		10,000				
605999 Contingency									
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE:	30,000	55,000	47,500	2	42,500	32,500	32,500	32,500	32,500
Revenues minus Expenditures	7,795	1 047							
November minus Expenditures	1 51 95	1,217		-			5	3 <b></b> )	1

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO FRASER LAKE LIBRARY

# (10602)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual		2019 Actual	Five Year F 2020	inancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE:									
400001 Taxation & Service Agreement	62	65	60		60	60	60	60	60
400004 Electoral Area "D" Taxation on Improvements Only									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll)	16,695,434	16,640,293	17,104,159		17,104,159				
Estimated Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000) Total Assessments (Converted Assessments)	0.0597	0.0608	0.0721		0.0949				
Tax Rate on Total Assessments	204,573,315 0.0487	207,101,373 0.0488	205,267,744 0.0601	2	205,267,744 0.0790				
By-law No. 1349, 2005: Limitation the greater of: \$0.16 per \$1,000 Total Land & Improvements or \$15,000	9,966	10,113	12,333		16,224	19,424	19,424	19,424	19,424
446001 Grants In Lieu of Taxes									
446002 Grant in Lieu of Alcan Taxes	14,233	13,495	17,250		17,250	17,250	17,250	17,250	17,250
499999 Surplus	4,130	4,235	3,752		3,200				55 5
TOTAL REVENUE	28,391	27,908	33,395		36,734	36,734	36,734	36,734	36,734
EXPENDITURE: 612220 Monthly Grant to the Fraser Lake Public Library Association	24,156	24,156	30,195		36,234	36,234	36,234	36,234	36,234
Not in use Repayment of Referendum Costs 2005									
605999 Contingency			3,200		500	500	500	500	500
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	24,156	24,156	33,395	•	36,734	36,734	36,734	36,734	36,734
Revenues minus Expenditures	4,235	3,752	-	-	-	-	-	121	9 <b>2</b>

#### REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO FORT SAINT JAMES LIBRARY

(10603)

	2017 Actual			2019 Actual	Five Year Fin 2020	ancial Plan: 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE: 400004 Taxation Electoral Area "C "									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	66,727,121 0.0205	67,836,987 0.0205	63,967,656 0.0267		63,967,656 0.0268				
Bylaw 1856 (1691), 2019: Limitation \$17,187	13,679	13,683	17,075		17,138	17,138	17,138	17,138	17,138
446001 Grants in Lieu of Taxes	67	63	50		50	50	50	50	50
499999 Prior Year's Surplus	71	67	63		0				
TOTAL REVENUE:	13,817	13,813	17,188		17,188	17,188	17,188	17,188	17,188
<b>EXPENDITURE:</b> 612220 Monthly Grant to the Fort St. James Library	13,750	13,750	17,188		17,188	17,188	17,188	17,188	17,188
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE:	13,750	13,750	17,188		17,188	17,188	17,188	17,188	17,188
Revenues minus Expenditures	67	63	8		(5)	(5)	2		3 <b>7</b> .5

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO FORT FRASER COMMUNITY HALL

# (10801)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year F 2020	<b>inancial Plan:</b> 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE: 400001 Taxation & Service Agreement Payments	46	48	46		46	46	46	46	46
400004 Taxation Portion of Electoral Area "D" LSA #17 Taxation on Improvements Only									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)	5,459,297 0.0448	5,432,984 0.0452	5,619,053 0.0436		5,619,053 0.0436				
By-law No. 887-\$2,500 Limitation	2,448	2,458	2,452		2,452	2,454	2,454	2,454	2,454
441001 Donations Received									
499999 Surplus from Prior Year	6		2		2				
TOTAL REVENUE	2,500	2,506	2,500		2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
EXPENDITURE: 612201 Annual Grant to Society	2,500	2,500	2,500		2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500 5
799999 Prior Year's Deficit		4							•
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	2,500	2,504	2,500		2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
Revenues minus Expenditures	-	2	-				-	Ξ.	<b>5</b> -

# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO BRAESIDE COMMUNITY HALL

# (10802)

	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2019 Budget	2019 Actual	Five Year F 2020	<b>inancial Plan:</b> 2021	2022	2023	2024
REVENUE:									
400004 Taxation Portion of Electoral Area "F" Taxation on Improvements Only									
Converted Hospital Assessments (Completed Roll) Estimated Residential Tax Rate (cents per \$1,000)		1,836,018 0.2723	2,214,295 0.2258		2,214,295 0.2258				
By-law No. 1808 - \$5,000 Limitation		5,000	5,000		5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
441001 Donations Received									
499999 Surplus from Prior Year			0		0				
	<u>ц</u>	5,000	5,000	-	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
EXPENDITURE: 611001 Repayment of Alternative Approval Costs		1,080							Сл
612201 Annual Grant to Society		3,920	5,000		5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000 00
799999 Prior Year's Deficit									
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	đ	5,000	5,000		5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
Revenues minus Expenditures	Ē	(0)	(0)	i <del>n</del> a				5	

59



# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO STAFF REPORT

То:	Rural Directors' Committee
From:	John Illes, Chief Financial Officer
Date:	October 10, 2019
Re:	Distribution of Northern Capital and Planning Grant

## Overview:

Of the \$5.8 million received for the Northern Capital and Planning Grant the Board decided that 30% (\$1,740,000) will be allocated to region-wide projects. A summary of these projects and the remaining funds are as follows:

- \$420,000 Recycling Projects
- \$169,248 RBA Community Sustainability Plan
- \$ 25,000 Agricultural Plan\*
- \$100,000 Parks and Trails Plan\*
- \$100,000 Broadband Connectivity Study\*
- \$ 73,000 Emergency Fire Apparatus and Water Tanker Trucks for Landfills\*

\$887,248

\$852,752 Remaining Funds from the region-wide projects.

Note: \* These projects still require a budget bylaw amendment which will be completed prior to year-end.

Of the \$5.8 million, 70% (\$4,060,000) will be allocated to Regional District established services at the discretion of the Electoral Area Directors. On September 5, at the Rural Directors' Committee meeting, the amount of the Northern Capital and Planning Grant allocated to each Electoral Area Director was confirmed to be calculated ½ by population and ½ by fixed amount and is as follows:

Electoral Area	A:	\$946,919
Electoral Area	B:	\$532,220
Electoral Area	C:	\$466,853
Electoral Area	D:	\$473,977
Electoral Area	E:	\$489,101
Electoral Area	F:	\$748,069
Electoral Area	G:	\$402,861

At this time, only one allocation of these funds has been made:

Electoral Area "F" \$ 40,000 Clucluz Lake Fire Service Study\*



\$308,650

# **Recommendations from Staff**

# **Region Wide Projects:**

The remaining \$852,752 of the region-wide projects is recommended to be fully utilized for capital projects for Environmental Services. The projects that are prioritized are as follows:

## Various Current Capital Projects in Environmental Services

There are several capital projects in 2019, such as the recycling centres construction (not already covered by the NCPG), and the cost for tractors and heavy machinery for these new centres. This includes the newly created Burns Lake and Smithers Recycling Centres as well as the Houston Transfer and Recycling Centre planned for 2020. The amount allocated for these projects is the amount that the RD is borrowing from its own reserves to fund these projects. Using the NCPG will give the Board the flexibility to review the proposed future taxation increases for Environmental Services in 2020 and 2021 and allow for some more stable future reserve planning.

#### Replacement of four Western Haul Trailers

\$544,102

 Our existing haul trailer fleet is now showing high wear and tear, particularly on the walking floor mechanism, and maintenance costs are escalating. A better aluminium alternative is now available, which we we are currently using on the Eastern Waste Haul routes. The estimated total cost of this project is between \$600,000 and \$700,000. This project has been selected both to reduce the maintenance costs associated with the fleet but also to reduce fuel costs and the Regional District's carbon footprint.



The recommended Electoral Area projects are found on the attached spreadsheet and are itemized as follows:

# Planning Projects:

Emergency Hazard, Risk and Vulnerability Planning

This project is planned to be completed internally with a two-year term position. A supplemental report from the Director of Protective Services is provided at the end of this report.

# **Capital Projects:**

Administrative Building – Solar Panels

 The Regional District is yet to implement any meaningful projects to reduce its carbon footprint. Initial analysis by two of the Regional District's current contractors indicate that the project would be easy to implement and will likely generate a net surplus in power.

#### Administrative Building - Upgrades

Building on the advice of the recent report "Emergency" Operations Centre Response to the 2018 Wildfires", this proposal implements changes to the building entrance and two reception areas to allow for a better "client experience" as well as security and safety for staff and EOC support workers in an emergency situation. These upgrades may also include some information technology components.

# Rural Water Storage for Fire Suppression

 Water supplies for fire suppression activities, for the rural fire departments is currently a limiting factor. This capital project provides mitigative measures by setting up buried 5,000 to 10,000-gallon tanks strategically within the fire protection areas. Includes one storage tank for Luck Bay \$30,000, two for Southside \$50,000 and two for Topley \$60,000. Additional tanks (5) are recommended for Cluculz Lake (\$150,000) but depend on the results of the Delivery Study.

\$300,000

\$140,000

\$250,000

\$225,000



<ul> <li>Refurbishment of the Fort Fraser Sewer System</li> <li>The addition of \$450,000 to this project will likely allow for complete refurbishment of the sewer system. This will minimize further capital repairs for the next 25 years. There is currently \$200,000 in reserves for this project.</li> </ul>	\$450,000
<ul> <li>Emergency Operations – Storage Facility</li> <li>A building between 1,600 and 2,000 square feet would be constructed at the Burns Lake Transfer Station to provide storage for such things as sand bags, blankets, emergency rations etc. along with general storage for the administration</li> </ul>	\$200,000 building.
<ul> <li>Recycling Depot Upgrades</li> <li>\$180,000 is for four concrete slabs in front of the recycling containers in Smithers, Burns Lake, Vanderhoof and Fort St. James and will enhance the recycling experience for our citizens and make snow removal easier.</li> <li>\$240,000 is for pole sheds over the Recycling Sea Cans, in conjunction with the above concrete slabs. Will prevent snow mud from accumulating in the drop off area and provide more comfortable working conditions for recycling staff.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Equipment Replacement - Spare Bobcat</li> <li>Move up replacement of this bobcat as it has become unreliable. Originally scheduled for 2021.</li> </ul>	\$ 75,000
Septage Receiving Beds Refurbishment and Expansion Burns Lake Transfer Station & Knockholt Landfill	\$ 50,000
<ul> <li>Repairs and Maintenance to Fort Fraser Sewer Facility</li> <li>This is broken into three parts – dredging, a disinfecting system and a solar aerator.</li> </ul>	\$800,000
<ul> <li>Twinning Fort Fraser Water Towers (Reserve contribution)</li> <li>This will make the water system more robust and handle the heavy demands of firefighting and the local community's season water demands. Any part of this amount will allow the Regional District to be more eligible to apply for infrastructure grants.</li> </ul>	\$1,400,000

October 10, 2019			Page 5 of 6
This would     Smithers co	ntre in Smithers Transfe build the recycling cons omplete with a loader. If nal in early 2020.	olidation centre in	\$750,000
<ul> <li>Similar to the serve the E</li> </ul>	etre in Vanderhoof Trans ne Smithers option abov ast end of the Regional in early 2021.	e but would	\$750,000
	ase development to be	ready to receive future Target completion 2021.	\$350,000

## Other project options include:

- Broadband Funds could be placed in either the Regional Economic Development Service (for the Regional District as a whole) or for an Electoral Area for future detailed internet connectivity design plans leading to infrastructure applications and further funds could be placed in these service accounts for future capital projects related to connectivity.
- Parks and Trails Funds could be placed in the Electoral Areas Parks and Trails Establishment Bylaw for future capital projects after the planning document is completed.
- Agriculture Planning Projects An agricultural plan (Non-Timber Forest Products) for the Regional District outlining the possible financial benefits for gathering, marketing and sustaining non-timber forest products for the agricultural sector. Estimated cost is \$50,000. An agricultural plan (Food Hub Planning) for the Regional District outlining the benefits of the food hub model and a strategy for implementation. Estimated cost is \$50,000.
- Administration Building Is scheduled to undergo capital maintenance of approximately \$500,000 over the next ten years and funds could be placed in the capital reserve account for the office building to cover these expenses.
- Clearview Landfill Funds could be placed in the capital reserve for environmental services for the construction of a leachate containment system. The estimated costs for this project is between \$800,000 and \$1.2 M.
- Funds could be placed in the capital reserve for the Bulkley Valley Aquatic Centre for capital projects happening at the centre.

October 10, 2019



 Environmental Services - Site Connectivity for landfills and transfer stations. In order to implement automatic scales, point of sale systems for tipping fees, protective systems, and more efficient HR systems, better internet connectivity systems are required. The current cost estimate is \$100,000 for both landfills and four transfer stations.

Recommendation:

(All/Directors/Majority)

That the Rural Directors Committee recommend to the Board of the Regional District of Bulkley-Nechako that \$852,752 be allocated from the Northern Capital and Planning Grant to Environmental Services capital projects planned for 2019 and 2020" and

And that the Rural Directors committee further recommends to the Board that \$4,020,000 of the Northern Capital and Planning Grant be allocated as per the attached exhibit [as amended].

#### **Option 1 "EVEN SPLIT"**

	Planned Year	Elec	toral Area	Ele	ctoral Area	Elec	ctoral Area	Ele	ctoral Area								
	of Project		Α		В		С		D		Е		F		G	Pr	oject Cost
Total Allocation		\$	946,919	\$	532,220		\$466,853	\$	473,977	\$	\$489,101	\$	748,069	\$	6402,861	\$	4,060,000
Clucluz Lake Fire Plan	2019-2020											\$	40,000			Ś	40,000
Emergency HRVA Project	2020-2021	\$	32,143	\$	32,143	\$	32,143	\$	32,143	Ś	32,143	Ś	32,143	\$	32,143	Ś	225,000
Administrative Building - Solar	2020	\$	35,714			\$	35,714	\$	35,714		35,714	\$	35,714		35,714	Ś	250,000
Administrative Building - Upgrades (EOC)	2020	Ś	42,857	\$	42,857	\$	42,857	\$	42,857	Ś	42,857	Ś	42,857	\$	42,857	Ś	300,000
Water Storage for Fire Suppression	2020			\$	30,000	\$	30,000	-		\$	50,000	•	•	\$	30,000	\$	140,000
Fort Fraser Sewer System	2020/2021							\$	266,834		·			•		\$	266,834
Emergency Operations Storage Facility	2020	\$	28,571	\$	28,571	\$	28,571	\$	28,571	\$	28,571	\$	28,571	\$	28,571	\$	200,000
Recycling Centre Depot Upgrades	2020	\$	105,000	\$	52,500	\$	105,000			\$	52,500	\$	105,000			\$	420,000
Bobcat - Equipment Purchase	2020	\$	10,714	\$	10,714	\$	10,714	\$	10,714	\$	10,714	\$	10,714	\$	10,714	\$	75,000
Septage Beds	2020	\$	7,143	\$	7,143	\$	7,143	\$	7,143	\$	7,143	\$	7,143	\$	7,143	\$	50,000
Sewage Facility - Fort Fraser	2021															\$	ŝ
Water System (Tower) - Fort Fraser	2022															\$	8
Consolidation Centre - Smithers	2020															\$	-
Consolidation Centre - Vanderhoof	2021															\$	÷
Knockholt Phase 3B	2020/2021	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	350,000
Broadband Capital Projects	2020+													\$	165,718	\$	165,718
Parks and Trails Capital Projects	2020+															\$	-
Agriculture Projects	2020															\$	¥
Administrative Building - Upgrades	2020+												12			\$	-
Clearview Landfill	2020+															\$	-
Bulkley Valley Aquatic Centre	2020+															\$	-
Environmental Services - Site Connectivity	2020+															\$	-
Remaining Unallocated			\$634,776		\$242,577		\$124,710		\$0		\$179,458		\$395,926		\$0	\$	1,577,448

#### OPTION 2 "BY POP"

		Α		В		С		D		E		F		G	Pro	oject Cost
	\$9	946,919	\$	532,220	5	\$466,853	\$	473,977	\$	489,101	\$	748,069	\$	402,861		4,060,000
2019-2020											\$	40,000			Ś	40,000
2020-2021	\$	72,811	\$	26,847	\$	19,602	\$	20,392	\$	22,068		50,771	\$	12,509		225,000
2020	\$	80,901	\$	29,830	\$	21,780	\$	22,657	\$	24,520	\$			13,899	\$	250,000
2020	\$	97,082	\$	35,796	\$	26,136	\$		\$			67,695	Ś	16,679	Ś	300,000
2020			\$			30,000			\$	50,000			ŝ	•	Ś	140,000
2020/2021							\$	342,565							\$	342,565
2020	Ś	64.721	Ś	23.864	Ś	17.424	Ś		Ś	19.616	Ś	45.130	Ś	11.119	Ś	200,000
								,					Ŧ		Ś	420,000
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			_		Ś	6,797	· · ·				Ś	4.170	Ś	75,000
															Ś	50,000
2021								.,	T	.,	•	,	· ·	_,	Ś	20,000
															Ś	24
															Ś	240
															Ś	
	\$	113,262	\$	41,762	\$	30,492	\$	31,720	\$	34,328	\$	78,977	\$	19,459	\$	350,000
2020+													\$	292,246	Ś	292,246
2020+														·	\$	
2020															Ś	-
2020+															Ś	÷.
2020+															Ś	14
2020+															Ś	12
2020+															\$	
		¢377 601		\$276 706		\$205 529		(¢∩)		¢244 585		\$215 977	ć	(0)	ć	1,415,189
	2020-2021 2020 2020 2020/2021 2020 2020 2020	2019-2020       \$         2020-2021       \$         2020       \$         2020       \$         2020/2021       \$         2020       \$         2020       \$         2020       \$         2020       \$         2020       \$         2020       \$         2020       \$         2020       \$         2020       \$         2020       \$         2020/2021       \$         2020/2021       \$         2020/2021       \$         2020/2021       \$         2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+	2020-2021       \$       72,811         2020       \$       80,901         2020       \$       97,082         2020/2021       \$       97,082         2020/2021       \$       64,721         2020       \$       105,000         2020       \$       105,000         2020       \$       16,180         2021       \$       16,180         2020       2020       2020         2020       2020       113,262         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+	2019-2020         2020-2021       \$         2020/2021       \$         2020+       \$         2020+       \$         2020+       \$         2020+       \$         2020+       \$         2020+       \$         2020+       \$         2020+       \$         2020+       \$         2020+       \$	2019-2020         2020-2021       \$       72,811       \$       29,830         2020       \$       97,082       \$       35,796         2020       \$       97,082       \$       35,796         2020       \$       97,082       \$       30,000         2020/2021       \$       105,000       \$       52,500         2020       \$       105,000       \$       52,500         2020       \$       16,180       \$       5,966         2021       \$       16,180       \$       5,966         2021       \$       113,262       \$       41,762         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       \$       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+         2020+       2020+       2020+	2019-2020 2020-2021 \$ 72,811 \$ 26,847 \$ 2020 \$ 80,901 \$ 29,830 \$ 2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 35,796 \$ 2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 35,796 \$ 2020 \$ 64,721 \$ 23,864 \$ 2020 \$ 105,000 \$ 52,500 \$ 2020 \$ 105,000 \$ 52,500 \$ 2020 \$ 16,180 \$ 5,966 \$ 2021 2022 2020 2021 20220 2021 2020 2021 2020 2021 2020 2021 2020 2021 2020 2021 2020 202	2019-2020 2020-2021 \$ 72,811 \$ 26,847 \$ 19,602 2020 \$ 80,901 \$ 29,830 \$ 21,780 2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 35,796 \$ 26,136 2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 30,000 \$ 30,000 2020/2021 2020 \$ 64,721 \$ 23,864 \$ 17,424 2020 \$ 105,000 \$ 52,500 \$ 105,000 2020 \$ 16,180 \$ 5,966 \$ 4,356 2021 2022 \$ 16,180 \$ 5,966 \$ 4,356 2021 2020 \$ 113,262 \$ 41,762 \$ 30,492 2020+ 202	2019-2020 2020-2021 \$ 72,811 \$ 26,847 \$ 19,602 \$ 2020 \$ 80,901 \$ 29,830 \$ 21,780 \$ 2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 35,796 \$ 26,136 \$ 2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 35,796 \$ 26,136 \$ 2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 30,000 \$ 30,000 2020/2021 \$ 23,864 \$ 17,424 \$ 2020 \$ 64,721 \$ 23,864 \$ 17,424 \$ 2020 \$ 105,000 \$ 52,500 \$ 105,000 2020 \$ 24,270 \$ 8,949 \$ 6,534 \$ 2020 \$ 16,180 \$ 5,966 \$ 4,356 \$ 2021 2022 2020 2021 \$ 113,262 \$ 41,762 \$ 30,492 \$ 2020/2021 \$ 113,262 \$ 41,762 \$ 30,492 \$ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+ 2020+	2019-2020         2020-2021       \$       72,811       \$       26,847       \$       19,602       \$       20,392         2020       \$       80,901       \$       29,830       \$       21,780       \$       22,657         2020       \$       97,082       \$       35,796       \$       26,136       \$       27,189         2020       \$       \$       30,000       \$       30,000       \$       342,565         2020       \$       64,721       \$       23,864       \$       17,424       \$       18,126         2020       \$       105,000       \$       52,500       \$       105,000       \$       6,797         2020       \$       16,180       \$       5,966       \$       4,356       \$       4,531         2021       2020       2020       2020       2020       \$       30,492       \$       31,720         2020/2021       \$       113,262       \$       41,762       \$       30,492       \$       31,720         2020+       2020+       2020+       2020+       2020+       \$       30,492       \$       31,720         2020+       202	2019-2020         2020-2021       \$       72,811       \$       26,847       \$       19,602       \$       20,392       \$         2020       \$       80,901       \$       29,830       \$       21,780       \$       22,657       \$         2020       \$       97,082       \$       35,796       \$       26,136       \$       27,189       \$         2020       \$       97,082       \$       35,796       \$       26,136       \$       27,189       \$         2020       \$       97,082       \$       35,796       \$       26,136       \$       27,189       \$         2020/2021       \$       30,000       \$       30,000       \$       342,565       \$         2020       \$       64,721       \$       23,864       \$       17,424       \$       18,126       \$         2020       \$       16,180       \$       5,966       \$       4,356       \$       4,531       \$         2020       \$       16,180       \$       5,966       \$       4,356       \$       31,720       \$         2020+       \$       113,262       \$       41,762	2019-2020         2020-2021       \$        72,811       \$        29,830       \$        21,780       \$        22,657       \$        24,520         2020       \$        97,082       \$        35,796       \$        26,136       \$        27,189       \$        29,424         2020       \$        97,082       \$        30,000       \$        342,565         2020       \$        64,721       \$        23,864       \$        17,424       \$        18,126       \$        19,616         2020       \$        105,000       \$        52,500       \$        105,000       \$        52,500       \$        105,000       \$        52,500       \$        105,000       \$        52,500       \$        105,000       \$        52,500       \$        105,000       \$        52,500       \$        105,000       \$        52,500       \$        4,356       \$        4,531       \$        4,904         2021       2020       2020       2020       \$        30,492       \$        31,720	2019-2020       \$       72,811       \$       26,847       \$       19,602       \$       20,392       \$       22,068       \$         2020       \$       80,901       \$       29,830       \$       21,780       \$       22,657       \$       24,520       \$         2020       \$       97,082       \$       35,796       \$       26,136       \$       27,189       \$       29,424       \$         2020       \$       97,082       \$       35,796       \$       26,136       \$       27,189       \$       29,424       \$         2020       \$       97,082       \$       30,000       \$       30,000       \$       50,000       \$       50,000       \$       50,000       \$       52,500       \$       105,000       \$       52,500       \$       105,000       \$       52,500       \$       105,000       \$       52,500       \$       4,356       \$       4,531       \$       4,904       \$         2020       \$       16,180       \$       5,966       \$       4,356       \$       4,531       \$       4,904       \$         2020       2020+       2020+       2020+	2019-2020       \$ 72,811 \$ 26,847 \$ 19,602 \$ 20,392 \$ 22,068 \$ 50,771         2020 \$ 80,901 \$ 29,830 \$ 21,780 \$ 22,657 \$ 24,520 \$ 56,412         2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 35,796 \$ 26,136 \$ 27,189 \$ 29,424 \$ 67,695         2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 35,796 \$ 26,136 \$ 27,189 \$ 29,424 \$ 67,695         2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 30,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 50,000         2020/2021 \$ 342,565         2020 \$ 64,721 \$ 23,864 \$ 17,424 \$ 18,126 \$ 19,616 \$ 45,130         2020 \$ 105,000 \$ 52,500 \$ 105,000         2020 \$ 105,000 \$ 52,500 \$ 105,000         2020 \$ 105,000 \$ 52,500 \$ 105,000         2020 \$ 16,180 \$ 5,966 \$ 4,356 \$ 4,351 \$ 4,904 \$ 11,282         2020 202 \$ 16,180 \$ 5,966 \$ 4,356 \$ 4,351 \$ 4,904 \$ 11,282         2020 2020 \$ 113,262 \$ 41,762 \$ 30,492 \$ 31,720 \$ 343,328 \$ 78,977         2020+	2019-2020       \$ <td>2019-2020       \$ 72,811 \$ 26,847 \$ 19,602 \$ 20,392 \$ 22,068 \$ 50,771 \$ 12,509         2020 \$ 80,901 \$ 29,830 \$ 21,780 \$ 22,657 \$ 24,520 \$ 56,412 \$ 13,899         2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 35,796 \$ 26,136 \$ 27,189 \$ 29,424 \$ 67,695 \$ 16,679         2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 33,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 52,500 \$ 105,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 100,000</td> <td>2019-2020       \$       72,811       \$       26,847       \$       19,602       \$       20,392       \$       220,688       \$       50,771       \$       12,509       \$         2020       \$       80,901       \$       29,830       \$       22,6136       \$       22,628       \$       50,771       \$       12,509       \$         2020       \$       80,901       \$       29,830       \$       22,6136       \$       22,628       \$       56,412       \$       13,899       \$         2020       \$       30,000       \$       30,000       \$       \$       29,424       \$       67,695       \$       16,679       \$       30,000       \$       342,565       \$       105,000       \$       52,500       \$       105,000       \$       52,500       \$       105,000       \$       52,500       \$       105,000       \$       52,500       \$       105,000       \$       2,780       \$       2,780       \$       2,780       \$       2,780       \$       2,020       \$       16,180       \$       5,966       \$       4,531       \$       4,904       \$       11,282       \$       2,780       \$</td>	2019-2020       \$ 72,811 \$ 26,847 \$ 19,602 \$ 20,392 \$ 22,068 \$ 50,771 \$ 12,509         2020 \$ 80,901 \$ 29,830 \$ 21,780 \$ 22,657 \$ 24,520 \$ 56,412 \$ 13,899         2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 35,796 \$ 26,136 \$ 27,189 \$ 29,424 \$ 67,695 \$ 16,679         2020 \$ 97,082 \$ 33,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 52,500 \$ 105,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 100,000	2019-2020       \$       72,811       \$       26,847       \$       19,602       \$       20,392       \$       220,688       \$       50,771       \$       12,509       \$         2020       \$       80,901       \$       29,830       \$       22,6136       \$       22,628       \$       50,771       \$       12,509       \$         2020       \$       80,901       \$       29,830       \$       22,6136       \$       22,628       \$       56,412       \$       13,899       \$         2020       \$       30,000       \$       30,000       \$       \$       29,424       \$       67,695       \$       16,679       \$       30,000       \$       342,565       \$       105,000       \$       52,500       \$       105,000       \$       52,500       \$       105,000       \$       52,500       \$       105,000       \$       52,500       \$       105,000       \$       2,780       \$       2,780       \$       2,780       \$       2,780       \$       2,020       \$       16,180       \$       5,966       \$       4,531       \$       4,904       \$       11,282       \$       2,780       \$



TO: Rural Directors

**FROM:** Protective Services

**DATE:** October 10, 2019

**SUBJECT:** Northern Capital and Planning Grant – Hazard, Risk and Vulnerability Assessment Program

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

1. That the Rural Directors recommend to the Board that the Hazard, Risk and Vulnerability Assessment Program be funded through the Planning and Infrastructure Grant allocation to the Electoral Area Directors.

VOTING: All /DIRECTORS/MAJORITY

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

A comprehensive hazard, risk and vulnerability assessment (HRVA) is required to update the Regional District of Bulkley-Nechako (RDBN) Emergency Preparedness Plan. The HRVA process helps to identify risks and vulnerabilities that affect the potential emergencies and disasters that may impact the ability to protect lives, property and to reduce economic losses. The after action review of the RDBN Emergency Operations Centre Response to the 2018 Wildfires recommends the RDBN update the current hazard, risk and vulnerability assessment to guide a more concise Emergency Preparedness Plan.

The Local Authority Emergency Management Regulation of the *Emergency Program Act. Section 6(2)* mandates the requirement for authorities to prepare local emergency plans respecting preparation for, response to and recovery from emergencies and disasters, and reflect in the local emergency plans the potential emergencies and disasters that could affect the jurisdictional area.

Resources not included in the budget are required to develop a comprehensive, region wide assessment. Therefore, staff are requesting that the HRVA project be funded through the Northern Capital and Planning Grant.

Written by,

Deborah Jones-Middleton Director of Protective Services

# Background

Emergency Management and Training Inc. was contracted in August 2019 to perform a review of the Regional District of Bulkley-Nechako Emergency Operations Centre Response to the 2018 Wildfires. The review recommends the RDBN review and update the current hazard, risk and vulnerability assessment to guide a more concise Emergency Preparedness Plan and other aspects of hazard mitigation, preparedness, response and recovery. Since the current Emergency Preparedness Plan was written in 2003 and updated in 2011 there have been significant changes in agencies, best practices and terminology.

A Hazard, Risk and Vulnerability Assessment (HRVA) is a critical part of every emergency program and is a requirement mandated by the Local Authority Emergency Management Regulation of the *Emergency Program Act. Section 6(2)* of the regulation requires local authorities to prepare or cause to be prepared local emergency plans respecting preparation for, response to and recovery from emergencies and disasters, and reflect in the local emergency plans, the potential emergencies and disasters that could affect the jurisdictional area.

The purpose of the HRVA is to help a community/region make risk based choices to address vulnerabilities, mitigate hazards and prepare for response to and recovery from hazard events. Risk based means based on informed choices of alternate unwanted outcomes. Risk reduction choices are based on the acceptability of consequences and the frequency of hazards.

Risk is a total concept of likelihood of occurrence of identified hazards and the severity of possible impacts. The combination of severity and likelihood is termed the level of risk.

When determining the severity of an identified hazard, a community's vulnerability is examined. Vulnerability is defined as people, property, infrastructure, industry and resources, or environments that are particularly exposed to adverse impact from a hazard event (Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General, Provincial Emergency Program, 2004).

Likelihood reflects the frequency of occurrence for a particular hazard event and can range from rare events occurring every 200 years to more frequent events, which usually have a high number of recorded incidents or anecdotal evidence.

A HRVA is established by the systematic use of information to identify hazards and to estimate the chance for and severity of, injury or loss to individuals or populations, property, the environment and other things of value. The objective of an HRVA is to:

- > investigate prominent natural and human caused events; and
- identify any threats that may require a timely and coordinated response to protect lives, property and to reduce economic losses.

The intent of a HRVA is to provide a basis from which local emergency planners, regional directors, and responders can:

- > update the Emergency Plan;
- > allocate resources for risk mitigation;
- > enhance community preparedness and education;
- develop a comprehensive all-hazards Crisis Communications Plan;
- > prepare budgets for cost-effective ongoing emergency planning; and,
- collaborate with agencies to develop comprehensive recovery and community resilience plans.

Factors considered in developing a list of hazards include:

- $\succ$  demographics;
- > geography;
- government and industry infrastructure;
- industries and other technologies;
- transportation modes and routes; and,
- weather and climate

Based on verified primary and secondary sources, both qualitative and quantitative methods are used to determine hazard ratings for areas of interest. Historical research and background are combined with community engagement, surveys, local knowledge and expert technical information.

A HRVA Committee for each community zone evaluates hazards for impact and likelihood to determine the risk rating of a particular hazard. The HRVA Committee forms the basis of ongoing community resiliency committees by establishing collaborative relationships crucial for response to and recovery from hazard events.

A HRVA Committee is comprised of:

- Elected Officials
- Local First Nations Officials
- Community Planners
- Social Services Agencies
- Local Knowledge Experts
- Long Term Residents and Elders, and
- Rotating Hazards Experts

Representatives from:

- Industry and Business
- Public Works
- ➢ Utilities

The project would start in March 2020 with a two-year duration, and cost estimates are as follows:

Expenses	Year 1	Year 2
HRVA Specialist	65,000	65,000
Travel	15,000	15,000
Committee Education	7,000	0
Meeting	18,000	18,000
Advertising & Survey	10,000	10,000
Supplies	1,000	1,000
Total per year	116,000	109,000
	2 year Project	225,000

This project will be managed by the Director of Protective Services.



# MEMORANDUM

То:	Rural Directors
From:	Maria Sandberg, Planner
Date:	September 27, 2019
Re:	Land Referral File No. 6409156 BC Hydro

This application is regarding a Licence of Occupation for transportation roadway purposes. The application area is located 6.7 kilometres northwest of the Town of Smithers.

BC Hydro would like to upgrade an existing trail to a gravel road between the end of Horlings Road and the transmission line right-ofway.

The application area is approximately 8 m wide and 260 m long and 0.21 ha in size. It will provide reliable vehicular access for future maintenance and upgrade work.



#### Recommendation

That the attached comment sheet be provided to the Province as the Regional District's comments on Crown land application 6409156.

Reviewed by: Jason Llewellyn Director of Planning

Written by: Maria Sandberg Planner

70



# REGIONAL DISTRICT OF BULKLEY-NECHAKO COMMENT SHEET ON CROWN LAND REFERRAL 6409156

Electoral Area:	Α
Applicant:	BC Hydro
Existing Land Use:	Vacant
Zoning:	Large Holdings (H2) under Zoning Bylaw No. 700, 1993.
Plan Designation	Resource (RE) under Smithers Telkwa Rural OCP No. 1704, 2014
Proposed Use Comply With Zoning:	Yes
If not, why?	N/A
Agricultural Land Reserve:	Not within the ALR
Access Highway:	Horlings Rd
Archaeological Site:	None according to provincial mapping
Building Inspection:	In the Building Inspection Area
Fire Protection:	Outside the Rural Fire Protection Area
Other comments:	None






**BC Hydro and Power Authority** 

Horlings Road Access Road Works

Circuit 1L392 9-1

# **Access Management Plan**

August 16, 2019

Prepared for: Richard Wheeler, RPF



## Prepared by: Andrew Mackay, RPF Eagle Ridge Forestry Ltd. On behalf of Chartwell Consultants Ltd.

Project 19-4513

repared by:	Andrew Machay, ROT	2019/08/16
	Name of author	yyyy/mm/dd

## **Table of Contents**

1.	PROJECT OVERVIEW	
	1.1 Project and Purpose	3
	Figure 1: Location of Works relative to Terrace	
	1.2 Location, size and main features of project	3
	1.3 Access plans	4
	1.4 Construction schedule	4
2.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	4
	2.1 Purpose	
	2.2 Construction	4
	2.3 Materials	
	2.4 Environment	
3.	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
	3.1 Environmental	
	3.1.1 Land Impacts	5
	3.1.2 Atmospheric Impacts	5
	3.1.3 Aquatic Impacts	5
	3.1.4 Fish and Wildlife Habitat	5
	3.2 Socio-Community	6
	3.2.1 Land Use.	
	3.2.2 Socio-Community Conditions	6
	3.2.3 Public Health	6
		0
	3.2.4 First Nations	6

## 1. **PROJECT OVERVIEW**

BC Hydro is planning to expand the road network to their transmission circuit 1L392 northwest of Simthers, BC to allow improved access for routine maintenance. BC Hydro is submitting a crown land application for a License of Occupation (LOO). The LOO will allow for the re-activation of an old access trail to connect to the BC Hydro transmission right-of-way (R/W). This will provide safer access for maintenance activities. The general location of this project is 9km northwest of Smithers starting from the end of Horlings Road (**Refer to Figure 1**).



Figure 1: Location of Works relative to Simthers.

77

**Project and purpose** – Currently no road access to this section of 1L392 transmission line exists. Currently there is a quad/hiking trail from the end of Horlings Road west to the powerline

**Location, size, and main features of project** - The project is located approximately 3.7km northwest of Lake Kathlyn near the community of Smithers. It is reached by travelling 7km north from Smithers on Highway 16. Turn left on Lake Kathlyn Junction Road, then travel west for 0.6km to Lake Kathlyn Road. Turn left on Lake Kathlyn Road and travel 0.7km across the CN tracks and turn right on Kroeker Road. Travel an additional 0.8km on Kroeker Road and turn right on Horlings Road. Travel on

Horlings Road to the end of pavement (2.9km on Horlings Road. The project involves 260m of new road construction to connect from the end of Horlings Road to Circuit 1L392 near tower 9-1.

<u>Access plans</u> - The site is located between the end of Horlings Road and Circuit 1L392 near tower 9-1. Access to the site for planning and construction will be via Horlings Road.

1.1 <u>Construction schedule</u> –The construction of the new road is scheduled for late spring to summer 2020 with project completion in the fall of 2020. Duration of job to construct the 260m of road will be approximately 3-4 days.

### 2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- 2.1 Purpose Re-activation of this old access trail will allow maintenance trucks to access the transmission towers in this section and to continue further to the south and to the north along existing roads within the powerline right of way. Typically maintenance activities are scheduled for snow-free periods, however emergency repairs to restore power to the surrounding areas are done regardless of season as this is an important transmission line supplying power to the communities between Smithers and New Hazelton. Traffic volumes are low with two to three patrols per year and intermittent maintenance projects involving 5-15 vehicles for periods of one or two weeks. The term of the road will be for 5 years with reassessment of access made at that time. The existing land use is forestry, and recreation. There is no intent to upgrade this road to Ministry of Transport standards or to prepare a gazette plan of the R/W.
- 2.2 Construction The proposed road is 260m in length and will provide easier access to the transmission line right of way and several towers. Minimum horizontal road alignment is 25 m radius curves and vertical alignment meets a "K" value of 2. Turnouts are located at each end of the road. Road grades vary from 2-15% and side slopes range from 0-20% with a southern aspect. The soils are deep tills. The proposed new section of road is located on rolling mid-slope topography with no discernable water courses. Cut slopes will be 67% in materials other than rock and fill slopes will be 50-67% depending upon material type. Two 500mm x 9m steel culverts are proposed at stations: 0+007 and 0+120 to handle any ditch water during rain events. Right-of-Way logging is required for 260m of road length right from Horlings Road to the powerline edge. Clearing width is proposed to be 8m. A volume of approximately 63m3 of merchantable logs from the 260m of road clearing will be decked at roadside (approximately 18m3 of balsam, 19m3 of pine, 13m3 of spruce, 11m3 of birch and 2m3 of aspen). Ditching will be 0.4m deep by 0.4m wide. Road construction will be done using an excavator and a ripper/rock hammer equipped excavator if necessary. The estimated road cost will be approximately \$25,000. The contractor has yet to be determined. Construction is scheduled for late spring to summer with the project completion anticipated in the fall of 2020. The road centerline was marked in yellow flagging in April, 2019.

**2.3** <u>Materials</u> - Construction is planned to achieve a balanced cut/fill and locally available rock and gravel will be used for surfacing. Soils are sandy loam/glacial till that is less than 1m in depth with scattered weathered bedrock outcroppings. There are no end haul or disposal sites required and movement of cut/fill materials will be done with a dozer/excavator combination due to the short distance involved. Slash will be disposed of by trucking away to a suitable site.

79

2.4 <u>Environment</u> - Proposed new road is located on rolling mid-slope topography with no discernable water courses. The road shall be surfaced with a combination of ripped rock/quarried rock/gravel which will create an erosion resistant surface. There are no identified other resource issues at this time. The road will be constructed to be a low risk/low maintenance access structure with annual patrols in lieu of future de-activation. Triton Environmental Consultants completed an Environmental Overview Assessment for this project and is attached.

#### 3. Additional Information

#### 3.1 Environmental

- 3.1.1 Land Impacts 260m of new road will have a running surface approximately 4.5 m wide. The average disturbed width will be 6m for a total disturbed area of approximately 0.16ha. The area of land in question is un-surveyed crown land and local materials will be used to construct this road. A review of BC Hydro's data base on April 8, 2019 does not show any archeological sites.
- 3.1.2 <u>Atmospheric Impacts</u> Any atmospheric impact from construction activities will be minor and localized due to the short duration and small scope of work. The nature of any impacts would be vehicle emissions and dust during dry periods.
- 3.1.3 <u>Aquatic Impacts</u> There are no water courses in the area under tenure application. There will be a construction supervisor onsite during construction of the road. BC Hydro's Standard Operating Procedures for fueling and working around water will be complied with by all activities.
- 3.1.4 **Fish and Wildlife Habitat** A search of the Conservation Database Centre (CDC) indicated no conflicts.

#### 3.2 Socio-Community

- 3.2.1 <u>Land Use</u> The project area is within the Skeena Stikine Natural Resource District. Some logging has taken place nearby in the past. Hiking, biking and quad use are the other activities in the area.
- 3.2.2 <u>Social-Community Conditions</u> The project area is located 9km from the nearest community (Smithers) and will have no significant impact on the existing community services or infrastructure.
- 3.2.3 **Public Health** there are no sewage facilities currently at the site and

none are proposed with this project.

3.2.4 <u>First Nations</u>- BC Hydro has not approached any First Nations organization with regard to this proposal.

80



81

# **Environmental Overview Assessment** BC Hydro 1L392 8-11 to 9-4 Horlings Road

Prepared for:

## Eagle Ridge Forestry Ltd.

5118 McConnell Avenue Terrace, BC. V8G 4X1

Contact: Drew Mackay, RPF. Principal.



3210 Apsley Street, Terrace, BC V8G 0J2 | let 250 635 1 494 | fax 250 635 1 495 |

Revision History and Approvals						
Project Name	· · · · · · · · ·	BC Hydro Circuit 1L392 8-11 to	9-4 Horlings Road			
Project Numb	er	10251.04				
Report Title		Environmental Overview Asses	sment			
Document #		WPT-5253				
<b>Report Author</b>	(s)	Matthew Huntley, B.Sc., R.P.Bio, P.Biol				
Date Version		Review Type	Reviewed by			
July 3, 2019		Senior Review	Shawn Giesbrecht, AScT, EP.			
July 5, 2019		Document Review	Kevin Doddridge, B.Sc.			

## Disclaimer

This report is rendered solely for the use of Eagle Ridge Forestry Ltd. on behalf of BC Hydro in connection with 1L392 8-11 proposed access project (the Project), and no person may rely on it for any other purpose without Triton Environmental Consultants Ltd.'s prior written approval. Should a third party use this report without Triton's approval, they may not rely upon it. Triton accepts no responsibility for loss or damages suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this report.

This report is based on facts and opinions contained within the referenced documents, including the results of any data collection programs carried out in relation to this report. We have attempted to identify and consider facts and documents relevant to the scope of work, accurate as of the time period during which we conducted this analysis. However, the results, our opinions, or recommendations may change if new information becomes available or if information we have relied on is altered.

We applied accepted professional practices and standards in developing and interpreting data. While we used accepted professional practices in interpreting data provided by Eagle Ridge or third-party sources, we did not verify the accuracy of any such data.

This report must be considered as a whole; selecting only portions of this report may result in a misleading view of the results, our opinions, or recommendations.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

83

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Project Description	
1.2	Scope of Report	
2.0	Methods	3
2.1	Study Area	3
2.2	Existing Information	3
2.3	Field Assessment	
3.0	Results	4
3.1	Project Setting	
3.2	Ecological Setting and Vegetation	4
3.3	Wildlife	5
3	.3.1 Avian	5
3.	.3.2 Mammals and Amphibians	3
3.4	Fish and Aquatic Resources1	1
4.0	Summary	ļ
5.0	Recommendations	2
6.0	References	2

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Map of Project area.	
--------------------------------	--

### LIST OF TABLES

Table A. Bird species observed within Project area on May 25, 2019	6
Table B. Bird species of conservation concern	7
Table C. Mammal and amphibian species of conservation concern	9

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Field Photos

# 1.0 Introduction

## 1.1 Project Description

BC Hydro and Power Authority (BC Hydro) is proposing to design and construct a short access trail between the end of Horlings Road, located outside the Town of Smithers in the Bulkley Nechako Regional District, to the existing right-of-way (ROW) of BC Hydro's 138 kV transmission line circuit 1L392 near structure 9-01. The clearing footprint for the new access would be approximately 8 m wide and 260 m long and would contain a gravel road that would provide reliable vehicular access to structures 8-11 to 9-4 for future maintenance or upgrade work.

## 1.2 Scope of Report

Triton was retained by Eagle Ridge Forestry Ltd. on behalf of BC Hydro to perform an environmental overview assessment (EOA) in support of a License of Occupation (LOO) application for a new right of way (ROW) and the access road (Figure 1).

The purpose and scope of this EOA report is to:

- Summarize available aquatic and terrestrial background information that relate to the Project area;
- Characterize any environmental features observed within the Project area during field assessment;
- Outline any physical and administrative features which have the potential to impact work proposed in the area;
- Provide recommendations for potential mitigation measures as well as possible areas of further assessment.



Figure 1. Map of Project/Study area

Environmental Overview Assessment Prepared by Triton Environmental Consultants Ltd.

## 2.0 Methods

## 2.1 Study Area

The study area was equivalent to the proposed clearing limits, previously stated as 8 m x 260 m area between Horlings Road and the BC Hydro ROW, with an additional buffer of 11m on either side for a total width of 30m.

86

## 2.2 Existing Information

A desktop review of information pertaining to the study area was done to obtain baseline information and references to notable environmental features which may affect development plans. Information gathered through this exercise was used to direct and inform field survey efforts outlined below. Online resources accessed included the following:

- BC Species and Ecosystem Explorer (BC CDC, 2019) a web-based tool used to identify species and ecological communities of conservation concern within regions and districts of BC. Search criteria included:
  - Native species (occurs naturally in BC);
  - Provincially red listed (extirpated, endangered, or threatened) and blue listed (special concern) and federal Species at Risk (SARA);
  - o Occurs within the Regional District of Bulkley-Nechako; and,
  - o Occurs within the Dry Cool subzone of the Sub-Boreal Spruce BEC zone (SBSdk).
- Habitat Wizard and iMapBC (BC MOE, 2019) a map-based tool used to access and spatially view detailed fish, wildlife, and ecosystems information for British Columbia.

## 2.3 Field Assessment

The field assessment was conducted on May 25, 2019 by Matthew D. Huntley, B.Sc., R.P.Bio, P.Biol. of Triton based in Terrace, BC. All assessments were performed by foot traverse using meandering transects. The field work primarily focused on the following key areas:

- Identification of terrestrial vegetation and classification of the ecosystems represented in the study area;
- Observation of wildlife or wildlife sign within the study area, and collection of biophysical information to determine wildlife habitat suitability for avian, mammal, reptile, and amphibian species; and,
- Assessment of any watercourses within the study area, and classification of those watercourses in accordance the methods outlined in the Reconnaissance (1:20 000) Fish and Fish Habitat Inventory Standards and Procedures (RIC 2001) and the Fish-stream Identification Guidebook (BC Ministry of Forests 1998). These inventory methods were modified to focus on the proposed road crossing(s) as full watershed level inventories were not required given the scope of the Project.

Two 30 m diameter plots were established in the study area in locations representative of surrounding vegetation (Figure 1). Each plot was classified down to the site series level and characterized in general terms at the canopy, understory, and shrub/herb levels. Identification of plant species was optimal given key features were present due to the time of year (growing season) the assessment was performed. Habitat was also assessed outside of these plots along the entire length of the proposed footprint.

87

The wildlife assessment focused on habitat use and suitability. The following summarizes key features of the survey for each wildlife class:

> Avian

- Dominant vegetation and habitat characteristics including distance to edges, presence of open water, vegetation density and presence of suitable wildlife trees
- Evidence of previous use including old nests
- Current use including two 5-minute point count surveys were conducted using the same plot locations as those used for the terrestrial vegetation survey.
- > Mammals and amphibians:
  - Evidence of current and past use including, but not limited to, tracks, scat, fur, markings, bedding areas, mammal dens, and amphibian breeding and rearing habitat.

Available habitat was also assessed considering habitat preferences for species of conservation concern to determine the likelihood of encountering these species in the study area.

# 3.0 Results

## 3.1 Project Setting

The proposed ROW lies within provincial crown land bordered to the north and south by private property. The ROW parallels a footpath to the north which meanders in and out of private property toward the eastern 60 m of the site. The ROW then joins the footpath beyond the private property line, continuing to the existing BC Hydro transmission line ROW.

## 3.2 Desktop Review

A total of 45 plant and wildlife species of conservation concern were identified during the desktop review as having the potential to occur within the regional area. Only those considered to have potential to occur within the project footprint given the habitat variables observed in the field are discussed in the following sections. For a complete list of identified species, see Appendix 2.

## 3.3 Ecological Setting and Vegetation

The ROW falls exclusively within the Sub-boreal Spruce Dry Cool Biogeoclimatic Zone (SBSdk). The Project area is located on an upper-toe slope with a southwesterly aspect and an average slope of approximately 12%, generally increasing toward the western extent of the site before flattening

out toward the existing BC Hydro ROW. A small pond is located approximately 40 m to the south of the ROW centerline, with a greater wetland complex located approximately 200 m to the southwest. While these areas are outside the Project footprint, they may contribute to the overall wildlife habitat value of the project area as outlined in the proceeding sections by providing breeding or foraging areas for various wildlife species that may use the area throughout their life cycle. No plant species or ecological communities of conservation concern with potential for occurring within the Project footprint were observed in either the desktop or field portions of this survey.

88

Two distinct ecosystem types are present within the Project footprint. The easternmost 70 m (Plot 1) is composed of a younger hardwood stand dominated by black cottonwood (*Populus trichocarpa*) with a smaller component of lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*), paper birch (*Betula papyrifera*), and sub-alpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa*). The understory is open with a moderate to poorly developed shrub layer dominated prickly rose (*Rosa acicularis*), birch-leaved spirea (*Spiraea betulifolia*), red elderberry (*Sambucus racemose*), highbush cranberry (*Viburnum trilobum*), and clasping twistedstalk (*Streptopus amplexifolius*). The moderately developed herb layer is heavily dominated by purple peavine (*Lathyrus nevadensis*) with smaller components of heart-leaved arnica (*Arnica cordifolia*), red columbine (Aquilegia canadensis), kneeling angelica (*Angelica genuflexa*), cow parsnip (*Heracleum maximum*), and Canada violet (*Viola canadensis*). Based on the slope position and plant associations, this section was classified as site series SBSdk/53 Mountain alder – Mitrewort.

The western portion of the Project site (Plot 2) is a more mature conifer-dominated stand with a canopy of lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*), sub-alpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa*), and hybrid white spruce (*Picea glauca x engelmannii*) with a smaller component of paper birch (*Betula papyrifera*). The shrub layer is less developed than the hardwood dominated stand and is dominated by false Solomon's seal (*Maianthemum racemosum*), thimbleberry (*Rubus parviflorus*), prickly rose (*Rosa acicularis*), black twinberry (*Lonicera involucrate*), and Vaccinium species. The herb layer is dominated by queen's cup (*Clintonia uniflora*), purple peavine (*Lathyrus nevadensis*), cow parsnip (*Heracleum maximum*), clasping twistedstalk (*Streptopus amplexifolius*), western meadowrue (*Thalictrum occidentale*), and Canada violet (*Viola canadensis*). Due to its slope position and plant associations, this site was classified as site series SBSdk/06 Sxw – Twinberry – Coltsfoot.

## 3.4 Wildlife

## 3.4.1 <u>Avian</u>

Fourteen bird species were observed within the proposed ROW during the survey (Table A). The highest density was observed within the hardwood-dominated ecosystem (SBSdk/53) to the east of the site where 13 out of 14 bird species were observed. This area provides high quality nesting and foraging habitat for breeding birds. Of the seven species of conservation concern identified as having potential to occur within the regional area, only the Olive-sided Flycatcher was confirmed to be present within the Project area. All other avian species of conservation concern

were considered to have low or moderate potential to occur based on a lack of suitable, high quality preferred habitat (Table B).

89

The Olive-sided Flycatcher (*Contopus cooperi*) is a Provincially Blue-listed species and is listed as Special Concern under the Federal Species at Risk Act. Critical habitat has not yet been identified for protection for this species. This species is widespread throughout BC, favouring forest and woodland habitats and generally nesting in conifers 2 to 15 m off the ground. As the breeding territory for this species is quite large, typically 10 to 26 ha, its presence within the Project area does not necessarily mean that the species is nesting within the ROW footprint. At least two breeding males were identified in the area, both occurring at or near the treeline on the eastern end of the site. The Project area and surrounding forest are considered to be high quality nesting habitat for this species.

No raptor or other stick nests were observed within the survey area.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Site Series	Potential Nesting?
Cassin's Vireo	Vireo cassinii	SBSdk/53, SBSdk/06	Yes
Ruby-crowned Kinglet	Regulus calendula	SBSdk/53, SBSdk/06	Yes
Pine Siskin	Spinus pinus	SBSdk/53	Yes
Northern Flicker	Colaptes auratus	SBSdk/53	Yes
Wilson's Warbler	Cardellina pusilla	SBSdk/53	Yes
Red-breasted Nuthatch	Sitta canadensis	SBSdk/06	Yes
Warbling Vireo	Vireo gilvus	SBSdk/53	Yes
Least Flycatcher	Empidonax minimus	SBSdk/53	Yes
Olive-sided Flycatcher	Contopus cooperi	SBSdk/53	Yes
Yellow-rumped Warbler	Setophaga coronata	SBSdk/53	Yes
Dark-eyed Junco	Junco hyemalis	-SBSdk/53	Yes
Hummingbird sp.	Trochilidae sp.	SBSdk/53	Yes
Golden-crowned Kinglet	Regulus satrapa	SBSdk/06	Yes
American Robin	Turdus migratorius	SBSdk/53, SBSdk/06	Yes

#### Table A. Bird species observed within Project area on May 25, 2019

Species Name	Listed By	Status <sup>1</sup>	Habitat Preference	Habitat: Present
Barn Swallow (Hirundo rustica)	CDC/ SARA	Blue/T	Prefers open habitats that are near water bodies. Agricultural fields, anthropogenic fields, urban fields, lakes, estuaries, and wetlands are also used. Nests in buildings, under bridges, in caves or in cracks on cliffs (BC CDC, 1994). Requires a vertical surface above the nests. Has been known to use abandoned nests of other birds.	No suitable habitat observed although nesting opportunities may exist in adjacent private properties.
Common Nighthawk (Chordeiles minor)	CDC/ SARA	Yellow/SC	Nests in both rural and urban habitats including coastal sand dunes and beaches, logged forest, recently burned forest, woodland clearings, prairies, plains, sagebrush, grasslands, open forests, and rock outcrops. Also presents in mixed and coniferous forests (COSEWIC, 2007).	Open woodland and mixed forest habitat, particularly at eastern extent of footprint (SBSdk/53), offers potential nesting habitat. Cleared private land to the south offers more likely nesting and foraging potential.
Short-eared Owl (Asio flammeus)	CDC/ SARA	Blue/SC	vegetation with some dry upland for nesting, and that supports suitable prey may be considered potential breeding habitat. Nearby water	Hardwood ecosystem at eastern extent of footprint offers relatively open woodland habitat potentially suitable for nesting, although low quality due to preference for more open habitats such as grasslands. Nearby pond, wetland, and agricultural field have foraging value.
Olive-sided Flycatcher (Contopus cooperi)	CDC/ SARA	Blue/SC	especially in burned-over areas with standing dead trees, in taiga, subalpine coniferous forest, and mixed coniferous- deciduous forest. Often in close proximity to, but not within, wetlands or waterbodies which support high abundance of	Multiple individuals observed in area during survey. Project footprint and surrounding forest provides high quality nesting habitat associated with mixed forest found in both site series described. Nearby wetland and waterbody provide source for aerial insect prey.

90

Environmental Overview Assessment Prepared by Triton Environmental Consultants Ltd. Page 7

Species Name	Listed By	Status <sup>1</sup>	Habitat Preference	Habitat Present
			most often in conifers, on horizontal limbs 2 to 15 m from the ground (BC CDC, 2010 (1)).	
Rusty Blackbird (Euphagus carolinus)	CDC/ SARA	Blue/SC	Breeding habitat includes moist woodland (primarily coniferous), bushy bogs and fens, and wooded edges of watercourses and beaver ponds. Nests are in trees or shrubs, usually in or near water, frequently in a conifer to about 6 m above ground (BC CDC, 2007).	Suitable habitat primarily in and around wetland areas to the SW. No suitable habitat observed within Project footprint.
Northern goshawk (Accipiter gentilis atricapillus)	CDC	Blue	Prefer mature forests with large trees, relatively closed canopies (60–90%), and open understories. Nests are frequently found near the lower portion of moderate slopes, close to water, and often adjacent to a canopy break (Kennedy, 2003).	While the canopy closure and relatively open understory of this site are favorable, the lack of suitable large trees (>53cm dbh) make this stand unlikely nesting habitat.
Great Blue Heron, herodias subspecies (Ardea herodias Herodias)	CDC	Blue	areas such as tidal mudflats, riverbanks, lakeshores, and wetlands, within 10km of nest site. Colonies occur in relatively contiguous forest,	Potential for nesting, particularly in SBSdk/53 portion of the site. Foraging habitat exists regionally. Nest colonies are generally conspicuous and no evidence of nesting or use detected during assessment.

91

Refers to provincial colour ranking, and SARA Schedule 1 as T=threatened and SC=Special Concern

#### 3.4.2 <u>Mammals and Amphibians</u>

No Provincial Wildlife Habitat Areas, Ungulate Winter Range or Federal critical habitat areas were identified within the proposed ROW during the desktop review. No scat, tracks, dens, or bedding areas were observed during the survey. Markings on trees in the area indicates past bear use. While no evidence of recent ungulate use was observed, both site series have browse value due to the diversity of plant species in the shrub and herb layers. Squirrel middens were common. No bat roosting habitat was identified during the assessment.

Four mammal species of conservation concern were identified as having potential to occur in the region (Table C). Of these, only Grizzly Bear is considered to have a moderate likelihood of occurrence in the Project area. No appropriate denning habitat for Grizzly Bear was identified but as this species has a large home range, they may use the area as a travel corridor, day bedding, or for its high forage value.

92

One reptile (Painted Turtle Intermountain Rocky Mountain Population) and one amphibian (Western Toad) of conservation concern were identified as having potential to occur in the region (Table C). Only the Western Toad is considered likely to occur within the Project footprint. Suitable breeding areas exist in the pond and wetland areas to the southwest, and the Project footprint may provide suitable upland habitat for foraging and hibernation.

Species Name	Listed By	Status	Habitat Preference	Habitat Present
Western Toad (Anaxyrus boreas)	CDC/ SARA	Yellow/SC	Utilizing a wide variety of aquatic and terrestrial habitats for breeding and foraging. Following breeding, adults may disperse several kilometres. Over winter, hibernates in terrestrial area favouring mature to old growth spruce dominated stands (BC CDC, 2010 (2)).	No breeding areas occur within Project footprint. Area may provide upland habitat for post-breeding and overwintering life phases.
Painted Turtle Intermountain Rocky Mountain Population (Chrysemys picta)	CDC/ SARA	Blue/SC	Forages and reproduces in aquatic wetland habitat (pond, wetland, or small lake with slow moving or still water and muddy bottoms with submerged and emergent vegetation). May overwinter on wetland bottom or burrow up to 1 m under substrate. Nest sites usually within 150 m but up to 200 m of water. Limited barriers between wetland and nest site. Sunny spot with loose to dense, well-drained soil, gravel, or silt (ECCC, 2017).	No wetland or nesting habitat within Project footprint. Potentially suitable habitat may exist in pond and wetland areas SW of the Project area.
Wolverine, luscus subspecies (Gulo gulo luscus)	CDC/ SARA	Blue/SC	denning and rendezvous points.	Potential for use as foraging and/or travel corridor. Little to no value as denning habitat.

Table C. Mammal and amphibian species of conservation concern

Species Name	Listed By	Status	Habitat Preference	Habitat Present
			associated with small-scale forest openings (e.g., <100 m across) at high-elevations (i.e., ESSF/ESSFp ecotone) (BC MWLAP, 2004).	
Little Brown Myotis (Myotis lucifugus)	CDC/ SARA	Yellow/E	Wide range of habitats often use human-made structures for resting and maternity sites; they also use caves and hollow trees. Foraging habitat requirements are generalized; foraging occurs over water, margins of lakes and streams, or in woodlands near water. Winter hibernation sites (caves, tunnels, abandoned mines, and similar sites) generally have a relatively stable temperature of about 2 to 12°C. Maternity colonies commonly are in warm sites in buildings (e.g., attics) and other structures; also infrequently in hollow trees (BC CDC, 2015).	No suitable den or roosting sites identified. Project area may provide foraging habitat due to proximity to wetland and ponded areas.
Caribou (northern mountain population) (Rangifer tarandus)	CDC/ SARA	Blue/SC	Habitat requirements are complex. Winter foraging requires adequate supply of terrestrial and arboreal lichens and snow conditions allowing travel on top of snowpack. Calving requires relatively undisturbed high elevation calving habitat where Caribou can disperse widely and calve in isolation away from predators (BC MWLAP, 2004).	Project area provides little value as calving grounds or winter range. Arboreal lichen density is low, providing minimal opportunities for foraging.
Grizzly Bear (Ursus Arctos)	CDC/ SARA	Blue/SC	Denning sites used from November through March. Hibernating habitats tend be high elevation areas that are on steep north- facing slopes, and have dry, stable soil conditions that remain frozen during the winter. Dens are usually in soils suitable for digging and where vegetation will stabilize the roof of the den and snow will accumulate for insulation. In the spring, grizzlies feed mainly on the roots of Hedysarum spp., spring beauty (Claytonia lacneolata), and/or avalanche lily (Erythronium grandiflorum) depending on local abundance, and on carrion. They may also opportunistically prey on winter-weakened ungulates. As the green vegetation emerges the bears begin to graze on grasses,	Denning habitat absent from Project area. Area has value as forage due to abundance of herb layer and various berry species (particularly in SBSdk/53) and as day bedding site.

93

Environmental Overview Assessment Prepared by Triton Environmental Consultants Ltd.

Page 10

		horsetails, rushes, and sedges (BC MWLAP, 2004). Typically associated with late successional riparian and dense	Lack of large trees limits
		successional riparian and dense	lack of large trees limits
Fisher (Pekania pennant)	C Blue	wetland forests with productive understory which support abundant prey species. Presence of suitable resting and maternal den sites (cavities within large decaying trees, abundant coarse woody debris, suitable branch sites) is important (BC MWLAP, 2004).	maternal den potential. Minimal large coarse woody debris and sparse understory make habitat less desirable. May be used as travel corridor between more suitable wetland and riparian habitats in the region.

## 3.5 Fish and Aquatic Resources

A non-classified drainage was identified at 09U 0612468E 6079450N, the proposed crossing of which is noted in Figure 1 as '© *BCH Culvert'*. It is in a shallow north-south draw and as there was no observable scour or alluvium present, no defined channel, and was fully vegetated, it does not meet the definition of a Stream as defined by either the BC *Water Sustainability Act* or the BC *Forest and Range Practices Act*. The feature does not provide fish habitat. The presence of a small footbridge along the existing footpath to the north of the ROW indicates that the draw is seasonally wetted, however the lack of scour or other evidence of fluvial processes suggest that, if present, overland flow is restricted to only the highest periods of rainfall or heavy snowmelt. No other watercourses were observed along the proposed ROW.

## 4.0 Summary

Based on the results of this assessment the following conclusions can be drawn:

- No rare or endangered plant species were observed or are expected to occur based on desktop review and the environmental conditions present in the study area;
- Avian species are likely to use the ROW during the breeding season, which is from mid April to mid-August for this location (ECCC, 2018);
- An Olive-sided Flycatcher, which is a Provincial and Federal species of conservation concern, was observed and likely uses the proposed ROW for nesting;
- While a wide range of mammals may use the study area, use by mammals of conservation concern is likely limited to Grizzly Bear which have limited potential habitat use within the project footprint.
- Potential upland forage and hibernation habitat for Western Toad and other amphibian species was observed within the Project footprint.

• The watercourse identified was a non-classified drainage which did not provide fish habitat and was not connected to fish habitat.

95

# 5.0 Recommendations

- To ensure management of impacts during access road construction, an Environmental Management Plan (EMP) should be developed by a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP). The EMP would include operational provisions designed to minimize impacts to avian species, mammals, and other wildlife, in particular:
  - Measures to prevent wildlife-human interaction and bear aware education (e.g. Appropriate storage and removal of waste, pre-works wildlife surveys).
  - Measures to prevent the destruction or harassment of birds or their nests.
  - Measures to prevent and respond to spills of hazardous materials. This should include the proper storage and handling of hazardous materials as well as appropriate procedures for fueling of equipment on site.
  - Measures to prevent the introduction and spread of invasive species.
  - Measures to prevent the introduction of suspended sediments into waterbodies should flowing water be encountered during construction.
  - Provisions for the use of a Qualified Environmental Monitor during all works where the Project has the potential to cause adverse environmental effects to terrestrial or aquatic resources.
- Where possible, all vegetation removal should be performed outside the bird nesting season (April 1-Aug 30). If clearing is required during this period, appropriate measures should be taken to prevent the incidental take of birds, their nests, and their eggs including, but not limited to, pre-clearing nesting assessments performed by Qualified Environmental Professionals (QEP).
  - As no critical habitat has been identified for the Olive-sided flycatcher, a focus should be placed on preventing the disturbance of actively nesting birds through identification and protection of active nests prior to clearing.

## 6.0 References

[BC CDC] B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 2019. British Columbia Conservation Data Centre public registry webpage. Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy. Available at www.env.gov.bc.ca/cdc (Accessed May 2019).

[BC CDC] B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 2015. Species Summary: Myotis lucifugus. B.C. Minist. of Environment. Available: http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/ (accessed May 2019).

[BC CDC] B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 2010 (1). Species Summary: Contopus cooperi. B.C. Minist. of Environment. Available: http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/ (accessed May 2019).

[BC CDC] B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 2010 (2). Species Summary: Anaxyrus boreas. B.C. Minist. of Environment. Available: http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/ (accessed May 2019).

96

[BC CDC] B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 2007. Species Summary: Euphagus carolinus. B.C. Minist. of Environment. Available: http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/ (accessed May 2019).

[BC CDC] B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 1995. Species Summary: Asio flammeus. B.C. Minist. of Environment. Available: http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/ (accessed May 2019).

[BC CDC] B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 1994. Species Summary: Hirundo rustica. B.C. Minist. of Environment. Available: http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/ (accessed May 2019).

[BC MOE] British Columbia Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy. 2019. iMapBC Web Application. Available at http://maps.gov.bc.ca/ess/sv/imapbc/ (Accessed May 2019).

[BC MWLAP] British Columbia Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection. 2004. Accounts and Measures for Managing Identified Wildlife. Version 2004. Biodiversity Branch, Identified Wildlife Management Strategy, Victoria, B.C.

Brigham, R. Mark, Janet Ng, Ray G. Poulin and S. D. Grindal. 2011. Common Nighthawk (Chordeiles minor), version 2.0. In The Birds of North America. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, USA.

Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC). 2007. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Common Nighthawk Chordeiles minor in Canada.

[ECCC] Environment and Climate Change Canada. 2017. Management Plan for the Western Painted Turtle (Chrysemys picta bellii) Intermountain – Rocky Mountain population in Canada [Proposed]. Species at Risk Act Management Plan Series. Environment and Climate Change Canada, Ottawa. 2 parts, 4 pp. + 31 pp.

[ECCC] Environment and Climate Change Canada. 2018. General nesting periods of migratory birds. Available at https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/avoiding-harm-migratory-birds/general-nesting-periods.html (Accessed May 2019).

Kennedy, P. L. (2003). Northern goshawk (*Accipiter gentilis atricapillus*): A technical conservation assessment. Colorado State University, Department of Fishery and Wildlife Biology.

# APPENDIX 1 FIELD PHOTOS

97

Photo 1 View of hardwood dominated stand looking west from the eastern vegetation plot on May 25, 2019

98



Photo 2 View of conifer dominated stand looking west from the western vegetation plot on May 25, 2019.





Photo 3 View of existing footpath as it joins the ROW looking west.

99

Photo 4 View of draw classified as NCD looking north from the ROW centerline.





100

# **APPENDIX 2**

# **REGIONAL SPECIES OF CONSERVATION CONCERN**

## BC Species and Ecosystems Explorer Search Results

		Biogeoclimatic			Status			Drovincial	Land Day
Scientific Name	English Name	Units	Provincial	BC List	Global	COSEWIC	SARA	Provincial FRPA	Land Use Objectives
Accipiter gentilis atricapillus	Northern Goshawk, <i>atricapillus</i> subspecles	BWBS ESSF ICH IDF MS PP SBPS SBS SBS SWB	5354 (2017)	Blue	G5T5 (2016)	NAR (1995)			
Acorus americanus	American sweet-flag	ICHdw ICHxw IDFmw SBSdk SBSmh SBSwk	S3 (2018)	Blue	G5 (2015)				
Acroloxus coloradensis	Rocky Mountain Capshell	ESSF SBS	S3S4 (2015)	Blue	G3G4 (2014)	NAR (2001)			
Ardea herodias herodias	Great Blue Heron, herodias subspecies	BG ICH IDF MS PP SBS	S3? (2017)	Blue	G5T5 (2016)			Y	
Asio flammeus	Short-eared Owl	BG BWBS CDF CWH ICH IDF MS SBPS SBPS SBS SWB	S3B,S2N (2015)	Blue	G5 (2016)	SC (2008)	1-SC (2012)	Y	
Botaurus lentiginosus	American Bittern	BG BWBS CDF CWH ICH IDF MS PP SBPS SBS	S3B, SNRN (2015)	Błue	G5 (2016)				
Brachythecium holzingeri		CDF CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IMA MH MS SBS	S2S3 (2011)	Blue	GU (2000)				
Buteo swainsoni		BG BWBS CDF ICH IDF MS PP SBS	S2B (2015)	Red	G5 (2016)				
Carex backii	Back's sedge	SBSdk	S2S3 (2015)	Blue	G5 (2016)				
<i>Chrysemys picta</i> pop. 2	Intermountain - Rocky Mountain Population	BG ICH IDF PP SBS	53? (2018)	Blue	G5T2T3 (2008)	SC (2016)	1-SC (2007)		
	Beetle	BAFA BG CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IDF	5254 (2017)	Blue	G5 (2016)				

## BC Species and Ecosyspens Explorer Search Results (Printer-friendly)

6/25/2019			BC Species a	and Ecosystems	Explorer	Search Res	sults (Printer-f	riendly)
		MH MS PP SBPS SBS						
Contopus cooperi	Olive-sided Flycatcher	BWBS CDF CWH ESSF ICH IDF MH MS PP SBPS SBS SWB		S3S4B (2015)	Blue	G4 (2016)	SC (2018)	1-T (2010)
Cypseloides niger	Black Swift	BAFA BG CDF CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IDF IMA MH MS PP SBPS SBS SWB		S2S3B (2015)	Blue	G4 (2016)	E (2015)	
Euphagus carolinus	Rusty Blackbird	BG BWBS CDF CWH ESSF MS PP SBPS SBS SWB		S3S4B (2015)	Blue	G4 (2016)	SC (2017)	1-SC (2009)
Falco peregrinus anatum	Peregrine Falcon, anatum subspecies	BG BWBS CDF CWH IDF MS PP SBS		S2? (2011)	Red	G4T4 (2016)	NAR (2017)	1-SC (2012)
Galba obrussa	Golden Fossaria	BAFA BG ESSF ICH IDF IMA MS PP SBPS SBS		S2S3 (2015)	Blue	G5 (2015)		
Grimmia mollis		ESSFwc ICHmw IMAun SBSmc		S2S3 (2015)	Blue	G5 (2015)		
Gulo gulo luscus	Wolverine, <i>luscus</i> subspecies	BAFA BWBS CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IMA MH MS SBPS SBS SWB		S3 (2010)	Blue	G4T4 (2016)	SC (2014)	1-SC (2018)
Hirundo rustica	Barn Swallow	BAFA BG BWBS CDF CWH ESSF ICH IDF IMA MH		S3S4B (2015)	Blue	G5 (2016)	T (2011)	1-T (2017)

γ

6/25/2019

# BC Species and Ecosystems Explorer Search Results (Printer-friendly)

0/20/2018			DC Species a	nu ecopyeren s r	=xpiorer	Search Re	suits (Printer-mendly)
		MS PP SBPS SBS SWB					
Hydroprogne caspia	Caspian Tern	BG BWBS CDF CWH ICH IDF PP SBS		S3B (2015)	Blue	G5 (2016)	NAR (1999)
Isoetes x truncata	truncated quillwort	SBSdw		S1S2 (2016)	Red	GNA (2016)	
Larus californícus	California Gull	BG BWBS CDF CWH ICH IDF MS PP SBS		S2S3B (2015)	Blue	G5 (2016)	
Meesia longiseta		BWBS ESSF MS SBS SWB		S3 (2015)	Blue	G5 (2012)	
Melanitta perspicillata	Surf Scoter	BG BWBS CDF CWH ICH IDF MS PP SBPS SBS SWB		538,54N (2015)	Blue	G5 (2016)	
Oncorhynchus clarkii clarkii	Cutthroat Trout, <i>clarkii</i> subspecies	BWBS CDF CWH ICH SBS		S354 (2004)	Blue	G4T4 (1997)	
Oreamnos americanus	Mountain Goat	BAFA BG BWBS CDF CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IDF IDF IMA MH MS SBPS SBS SWB		S3 (2015)	Blue	G5 (2016)	
Pekania pennanti	Fisher	BAFA BWBS CDF CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IMA MH MS PP SBPS SBS SWB	£	53 (2015)	Blue	G5 (2016)	
Pelecanus erythrorhynchos	American White Pelican	BG BWBS CDF CWH ICH IDF MS PP SBPS SBS		S1B (2015)	Red	G4 (2016)	NAR (1987)

Y

Y

6/25/2019

# BC Species and Ecosyletions Explorer Search Results (Printer-friendly)

0/20/2013		BC 3	phecies and Ecosyletters	Explorer	Search Res	suits (Printer-1	nendiy)	
Physella propinqua	Rocky Mountain Physa	BAFA CDF CWH ESSF IDF IMA MS SBPS SBS	S354 (2015)	Blue	G5Q (2015)			
Physella virginea	Sunset Physa	BAFA BG CDF CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IMA MS SBPS SBS	S3S5 (2015)	Blue	G5Q (2015)			
Podiceps nigricollis	Eared Grebe	BAFA BG BWBS CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IDF IMA MH MS PP SBPS SBS	S3B (2015)	Blue	G5 (2016)			
Polemonium elegans	elegant Jacob's- ladder	BAFA CMA CWHxm ESSFdkp ESSFdv ESSFxc ESSFxc ESSFxcp IDFdw IDFww IDFww IMA MSxk SBSdw	53? (2015)	Blue	G4 (1988)			
<i>Rangifer tarandus</i> pop. 15	Caribou (northern mountain population)	BWBS ESSF MH SBS	S2S3 (2017)	Blue	G5T4T5 (2013)	SC (2014)	1-SC (2005)	Y
Salvelinus confluentus	Bull Trout	BG BWBS CWH ESSF ICH IDF MS PP SBPS SBS SWB	5354 (2018)	Blue	G4 (2017)	SC (2012)		Y
Somatochlora forcipata	Forcipate Emerald	ESSF MS SBPS SBS	S3? (2015)	Blue	G5 (2015)			
Somatochlora kennedyi	Kennedy's Emerald	BWBS ESSF MS SBPS SBS SWB	S3S4 (2015)	Blue	G5 (2015)		×	
Sphaerium striatinum	Striated Fingernailclam	BAFA BG BWBS CDF CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IMA	S354 (2015)	Blue	G5 (2015)			

a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/jsp/results\_print.jsp

6/25/	20	1	9
-------	----	---	---

## BC Species and Ecosystems Explorer Search Results (Printer-friendly)

		001			Search Res		nenary)	
		MH MS PP SBPS SBS SWB						
Sphagnum balticum		BAFA CWH SBS SWB	S2S3 (2015)	Blue	G5 (2015)			
Splachnum vasculosum		BWBS SBS	S2S3 (2015)	Blue	G4G5 (2015)			
Ursus arctos	Grizzly Bear	BAFA BWBS CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IMA MH MS SBPS SBS SWB	53? (2015)	Blue	G4 (2016)	SC (2002)	1-5C (2018)	Y
Woodsia alpina	alpine cliff fern	SBSdw SWBmk	S3 (2015)	Blue	G4G5 (2016)			

#### Search Summary

Time Tue Jun 25 12:47:42 PDT 2019

Performed

Notes

Results 41 records.

Animals OR Fungi (Lichens and Macrofungi) OR Plants OR Ecosystem Realm-Groups: Flood Group (F) OR Forest OR Grassland Group (G) OR Hydrogenic Group (H) OR Rock Group (R) OR Subalpine Shrub Group (S) OR Mineral Wetland Group OR Peatland Group OR Estuarine Realm OR Alpine Group (A) OR Beach Group (B) Search Criteria AND BC Conservation Status:Red (Extirpated, Endangered, or Threatened) OR Blue (Special Concern)

AND Distribution: Native AND Regional Districts: Bulkley-Nechako (BNRD)

AND BGC Zone:

Sort Order:Scientific Name Ascending

1. Citation: B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 2019. BC Species and Ecosystems Explorer. B.C. Minist. of Environ. Victoria, B.C. Available: <a href="http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/">http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/</a> (accessed Jun 25, 2019).

2. Forest District, MoE Region, Regional District and habitat lists are restricted to species that breed in the Forest District, MoE Region, Regional District or habitat (i.e., species will not be placed on lists where they occur only as migrants).

3. The data contained in the Results Export in BCSEE are provided under the Open Government License - BC.

Modify Search | New Search | Results

## BC Species and Ecosystems Explorer Search Results

			Biogeoclimatic			Status			Drevincial	Land Har
	Scientific Name	English Name		Provincial	BC List	Global	COSEWIC	SARA	Provincial FRPA	Land Use Objectives
	Acipenser transmontanus	White Sturgeon	BG CDF CWH ICF MS PP SBS	52 (2018)	No Status	G4 (2002)	E (2003)	1-Ę (2006)		
	Anaxyrus boreas	Western Toad	BG BWBS CDF CWH ESSF ICH IDF PP SBS SWB	S4 (2016)	Yellow	G4 (2008)	SC (2012)	1-SC (2018)		
	Asio flammeus	Short-eared Owl	BG BWBS CDF CWH ICH IDF MS SBPS SBPS SBS SWB	S3B,52N (2015)	Biue	G5 (2016)	SC (2008)	1-SC (2012)	Y	
	ChordeIles minor	Common Nighthawk	BG BWBS CDF CWH ESSF ICH IDF MH MS PP SBPS SBS SWB	S4B (2015)	Yellow	G5 (2016)	SC (2018)	1-T (2010)		
	Chrysemys picta pop. 2	Rocky Mountain Population	BG ICH IDF PP SBS	53? (2018)	Blue	G5T2T3 (2008)	SC (2016)	1-SC (2007)		
	Contopus cooperi		BWBS CDF CWH ESSF ICH IDF MH MS SBPS SBPS SBPS SBPS SBPS SBPS	S354B (2015)	Blue	G4 (2016)	SC (2018)	1-T (2010)		
	Euphagus carolinus		BG BWBS CDF CWH ESSF MS SBPS SBPS SBPS SBS SWB	S3S4B (2015)	Blue	G4 (2016)	SC (2017)	1-SC (2009)		
,	Falco peregrinus		BG BWBS CDF CWH ESSF ICH IDF MS op SBS SWB	53 (2015)	No Status	G4 (2016)	SC (2007)	1-SC		

Anticipy exercipitions         Participities Failowing in production in the production i	6/24/2019				BC Species		s Explorer S	Search Re	sults (Printer-f	riendly)		
Gulo guto functor       Workerine, functor       Workerine, functor       Workerine, functor       S.3 (2010)       Blue       G.474       S.C (2014)       1.SC       Y         Gulo guto functor       Workerine, functor       Barn Swallow       S.S. (2010)       Blue       G.474       S.C (2014)       1.SC       Y         Himmed musicitier       Barn Swallow       S.S. (2010)       Blue       G.55       T (2011)       1.ST       Y         Himmed musicitier       Barn Swallow       S.S. (2015)       Blue       G.55       T (2011)       1.ST       Y         Rangifier transmitive point       Little Brown Myocis       Barn Swallow       S.S. (2015)       Yulow       G.33       S.C (2014)       1.SC       Y         Rangifier transmitive point       Gritery Barn       S.S.S.G (2015)       Yulow       G.33       S.C (2014)       1.SC       Y         Uhaus arctics       Gritery Barn       S.S.G (2015)       Vulow       G.33       S.C (2014)       1.SC       Y         Uhaus arctics       Gritery Barn       S.S.G (2015)       Vulow       G.33       S.C (2014)       1.SC       Y         Uhaus arctics       Gritery Barn       S.S.G (2015)       Blue       G.51/G.33       S.C (2014)       1.SC <t< td=""><td></td><td>5</td><td></td><td>BG BWBS CDF CWH IDF MS PP</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>G4T4</td><td></td><td>1-SC</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		5		BG BWBS CDF CWH IDF MS PP				G4T4		1-SC		
Subspecies     EVRES     EVRES     EVENT     Event     (2016)     Event     (2016)     (2016)       Hitundo rustico     Barn Svallow     BARA     S354B (2015)     Blue     (2016)     T (2011)     1-T       Hitundo rustico     Barn Svallow     BARA     S354B (2015)     Blue     (2016)     T (2011)     1-T       Myotis lucifugus     Little Brown Myotis     BARA     S354B (2015)     Blue     (2016)     T (2011)     1-T       Myotis lucifugus     Little Brown Myotis     BARA     S354B (2015)     Velow     (2016)     1-E       Myotis lucifugus     Little Brown Myotis     BARA     S354B (2015)     Velow     (2016)     1-E       Myotis lucifugus     Little Brown Myotis     BARA     S354B (2015)     Velow     (2013)     1-E       SBNS     SBNS     SSNB     SSNB     SSNB     (2014)     1-SC     Y       Rangifier taranolus pop     Curibus (northerm mopulation)     BARA     S37 (2013)     Blue     (2014)     1-SC     Y       Ursus anctos     Grizzby Bear     BARA     S37 (2013)     Blue     C4     SC (2002)     1-SC     Y       Ursus anctos     Grizzby Bear     BARA     SSNS     S37 (2013)     Blue     C4     SC (2015)	Gulo gulo		Wolverine	BWBS CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IMA MH MS SBPS SBS		S3 (2015)	No Status		5C (2014)			
BG     Definition     Color	Gulo gulo luscus			BWBS CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IMA MH MS SBPS SBS		S3 (2010)	Blue		SC (2014)		Y	
Rangifer tarandus pop. COVH ESSF ICH IDF MH MH MBS PP SBPS SBS SWB     Carlou (northern mountain population)     BWBS BS     52S3 (2017)     Blue (2013)     CST4TS COVH (2013)     SC (2014)     1-SC (2005)     Y       Ursus arctos     Grizzly Bear     BAFA BWBS CWA SBS     S37 (2015)     Blue (2016)     C4 (2016)     SC (2002)     1-SC (2018)     Y       Ursus arctos     Grizzly Bear     BAFA SBS     S37 (2015)     Blue (2016)     C4 (2016)     SC (2002)     1-SC (2018)     Y       Ursus arctos     SBFS SBS     SBS     S37 (2015)     Blue SBS     C4 (2016)     SC (2002)     1-SC (2018)     Y       Ursus arctos     SF     SBFS SBS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS       SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS       SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS       SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS     SBFS       SUBB     IS	Hirundo rustica		Barn Swallow	BG BWBS CDF CWH ESSF ICH IDF IMA MH MS PP SBPS SBS		S354B (2015)	Blue		Τ (2011)			
15       mountain population)       ESSF       (2013)       (2005)       (2005)         Ursus arctos       Grizzly Bear       BAFA       S3? (2015)       Blue       G4       SC (2002)       1-SC       Y         Ursus arctos       Grizzly Bear       BAFA       S3? (2015)       Blue       G4       SC (2002)       1-SC       Y         Ursus arctos       Grizzly Bear       BAFA       S3? (2015)       Blue       G4       SC (2002)       1-SC       Y         Ursus arctos       Grizzly Bear       BAFA       S3? (2015)       Blue       G4       SC (2002)       1-SC       Y         Ursus arctos       Grizzly Bear       BAFA       S3? (2015)       Blue       G4       SC (2002)       1-SC       Y         Ursus arctos       Grizzly Bear       BAFA       S3?       S0	Myotis lucifugus		Little Brown Myotis	BWBS CDF CWH ESSF ICH IDF MH MS PP SBPS SBS		S4 (2015)	Yellow		E (2013)			
BWBS     BUBS     (2016)     (2002)     1430     1430       CMA     CWH     ESSF     (2016)     (2018)       IDF     IDF     IDF     IDF       IDF     IMA     MH     MS       SBPS     SBPS     SBPS       SWB     SWB		5 рор,	mountain	ESSF MH		S2S3 (2017)	Blue		SC (2014)		Y	
Time     Mon Jun 24 14:30:15 PDT 2019       Performed     Performed       Results     15 records.	Ursus arctos		Grizzly Bear	BWBS CMA CWH ESSF ICH IDF IMA MH MS SBPS SBS	×	53? (2015)	Blue		SC (2002)		Υ	
Performed Results 15 records.	Search Sum	mary	,									
		Mon Ji	un 24 14:30:15 PDT 2	019								
Search Criteria Animals OR Plants OR Fungi (Lichens and Macrofungi) OR Ecosystem Realm-Groups: Flood Group (F) OR Forest OR Grassland Group (G) OR Hydrogenic Group (H) OR Rock Group (R) OR Subalpine Shrub Group (S) OR Mineral Wetland Group OR Peatland Group OR Estuarine Realm OR	Results Search Criteria	Anima	Is OR Plants OR Fundi	(Lichens	and Macrofun	gi) OR Ecosystem	Realm-Grou	ups: Flood (	Group (F) OR Fo	prest OR Gr	assland Grou	p (G) OR

Alpine Group (A) OR Beach Group (B) AND SARA Schedule 1 Status:True AND Distribution: Native AND Regional Districts: Bulkley-Nechako (BNRD) AND Municipalities: Smithers AND BGC Zone: AND BGC Zone, Subzone, Variant, Phase: SBSdk Sort Order:Scientific Name Ascending

Notes 1. Citation: B.C. Conservation Data Centre. 2019. BC Species and Ecosystems Explorer. B.C. Minist. of Environ. Victoria, B.C. Available: http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/ (accessed Jun 24, 2019).

> Forest District, MoE Region, Regional District and habitat lists are restricted to species that breed in the Forest District, MoE Region, Regional District or habitat (i.e., species will not be placed on lists where they occur only as migrants).

3. The data contained in the Results Export in BCSEE are provided under the Open Government License - BC.

Modify Search | New Search | Results